arXiv:1303.0992v1 [math.AP] 5 Mar 2013

Global regular axially symmetric solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations

Wojciech M. Zajączkowski

Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences,
Śniadeckich 8, 00-956 Warsaw, Poland
E-mail:wz@impan.pl;
Institute of Mathematics and Cryptology, Cybernetics Faculty,
Military University of Technology, Kaliskiego 2,
00-908 Warsaw, Poland

Mathematical Subject Classification (2010): 76D03, 76D05, 35Q30, 35B65, 35D10
Key words and phrases: Navier-Stokes equations, axially symmetric solutions, large swirl, regularity, global existence

Abstract. Global regular axially symmetric solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations is proved. The solution is such that velocity belongs to $W_2^{2,1}(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+)$ and gradient of pressure to $L_2(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+)$, where Ω is a finite axially symmetric cylinder in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and the slip boundary conditions are imposed on its boundary. First we prove the existence of local solutions in the mentioned spaces for time less or equal T. Having such solutions we are able to show that swirl (rv_φ) is the Hölder continuous. This gives a possibility to show that $\|v'\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$, $v'=(v_r,v_z)$, is bounded independently on time near the axis of symmetry. Similar estimate for v' is obtained in a neighborhood located in a positive distance of the axis of symmetry. Separately we show that $\|v_\varphi\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$ is bounded by a quantity independent on time. This implies that the local solution can be prolonged on intervals $(kT,(k+1)T)$, $k\in\mathbb{N}$, $T>0$. Employing the decay estimates appropriate for the Navier-Stokes equations we show that however the external force does not decrease with time the norm $\|v(t)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$ does not increase.

1. Introduction

In this paper we prove the existence of global regular axially symmetric solutions with large swirl to the Navier-Stokes equations. We consider the motion of an incompressible fluid in an axially symmetric cylinder with the slip boundary conditions. We generalize the results from [Z1, Z2, Z3], where the periodic cylinder with respect to the variable along its axis and the case without any external force are considered. The slip boundary conditions are necessary because the main step in a proof of global estimate is the energy type estimate for vorticity (see [L1, Z4, Z5, Z6]). To get such estimate we need integration by parts so appropriate boundary conditions for vorticity are necessary.

In this paper we consider the axially symmetric solutions (see Definition 1.1 below) to the following problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
&v_t + v\cdot\nabla v - \nu\Delta v + \nabla p = f && \text{in } \ \Omega^T = \Omega\times(0,T),\\
&\operatorname{div} v = 0 && \text{in } \ \Omega^T,\\
&v\cdot\bar n = 0 && \text{on } \ S^T = S\times(0,T),\\
&\bar n\cdot\mathbb{D}(v)\cdot\bar\tau_\alpha = 0, \quad \alpha = 1,2, && \text{on } \ S^T,\\
&v|_{t=0} = v_0 && \text{in } \ \Omega,
\end{aligned}
\tag{1.1}
$$

where Ω is an axially symmetric cylinder with boundary $S = S_1\cup S_2$, $x = (x_1,x_2,x_3)$ is the Cartesian system of coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^3$ such that x_3 is the axis of the cylinder Ω. By S_1 we denote the part of the boundary of the cylinder parallel to the x_3-axis and S_2 is perpendicular to it. Next, $v = v(x,t) = (v_1(x,t), v_2(x,t), v_3(x,t)) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is the velocity of the considered fluid, $p = p(x,t)\in\mathbb{R}$ the pressure, $f = f(x,t) = (f_1(x,t), f_2(x,t), f_3(x,t))\in\mathbb{R}^3$ the external force field, $\nu > 0$ is the constant viscosity coefficient, $\mathbb{D}(v) = \nabla v + \nabla v^T$ the dilatation tensor which is the double symmetric part of ∇v, $\bar n$ is the unit outward normal vector to S and $\bar\tau_\alpha$, $\alpha = 1,2$, is a tangent one.

To examine axially symmetric solutions to (1.1) we introduce the cylindrical coordinates r, φ, z by the relations $x_1 = r\cos\varphi$, $x_2 = r\sin\varphi$, $x_3 = z$. Moreover, we introduce the vectors $\bar e_r = (\cos\varphi, \sin\varphi, 0)$, $\bar e_\varphi = (-\sin\varphi, \cos\varphi, 0)$, $\bar e_z = (0,0,1)$ connected with the cylindrical coordinates. Then, the cylindrical coordinates of v and f are defined by the relations

$$
v_r = v\cdot\bar e_r, \quad v_\varphi = v\cdot\bar e_\varphi, \quad v_z = v\cdot\bar e_z, \quad f_r = f\cdot\bar e_r, \quad f_\varphi = f\cdot\bar e_\varphi, \quad f_z = f\cdot\bar e_z,
$$

where the dot denotes the scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Finally, by $u = v_\varphi r$ we denote a swirl.

To describe the domain Ω and its boundary in greater details we introduce the notation

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Omega=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^3:\ r<R,\ |z|<a\},\\
&S_1=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^3:\ r=R,\ |z|<a\},\\
&S_2=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^3:\ r<R,\ z\in\{-a,a\}\},
\end{aligned}$$

where R and a are given positive numbers.

Definition 1.1. By the axially symmetric solutions we mean such solutions to problem (1.1) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&v_{r,\varphi}=v_{\varphi,\varphi}=v_{z,\varphi}=p_{,\varphi}=0,\\
&f_{r,\varphi}=f_{\varphi,\varphi}=f_{z,\varphi}=0.
\end{aligned}\tag{1.2}$$

In the cylindrical coordinates equations (1.1) for the axially symmetric solutions can be expressed in the form (see [LL, K])

$$v_{r,t}+v\cdot\nabla v_r-\frac{v_\varphi^2}{r}-\nu\Delta v_r+\nu\frac{v_r}{r^2}=-p_{,r}+f_r,\tag{1.3}$$

$$v_{\varphi,t}+v\cdot\nabla v_\varphi+\frac{v_r}{r}v_\varphi-\nu\Delta v_\varphi+\nu\frac{v_\varphi}{r^2}=f_\varphi,\tag{1.4}$$

$$v_{z,t}+v\cdot\nabla v_z-\nu\Delta v_z=-p_{,z}+f_z,\tag{1.5}$$

$$v_{r,r}+v_{z,z}=-\frac{v_r}{r},\tag{1.6}$$

where $v\cdot\nabla=v_r\partial_r+v_z\partial_z$, $\Delta u=\frac{1}{r}(ru_{,r})_{,r}+u_{,zz}$.
Expressing the boundary conditions $(1.1)_4$ in the cylindrical coordinates yields (see [Z4, Ch. 4, Lemma 2.1])

$$\begin{aligned}
&v_r=0,\quad v_{z,r}=0,\quad v_{\varphi,r}=\frac{1}{r}v_\varphi\quad &&\text{on}\ \ S_1,\\
&v_{r,z}=0,\quad v_{\varphi,z}=0,\quad v_z=0 &&\text{on}\ \ S_2.
\end{aligned}\tag{1.7}$$

Finally, initial conditions assume the form

$$v_r|_{t=0}=v_r(0),\quad v_\varphi|_{t=0}=v_\varphi(0),\quad v_z|_{t=0}=v_z(0).\tag{1.8}$$

We prove the existence of global axially symmetric solutions to (1.1) such that $v\in W_2^{2,1}(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+)$, $\nabla p\in L_2(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+)$. The proof is divided into the following steps. First we prove the existence of a local solution $v \in W_2^{2,1}(\Omega \times (0,T_0))$, $\nabla p \in L_2(\Omega \times (0,T_0))$, where T_0 is sufficiently small. To show such existence we need that $v(0) \in H^1(\Omega)$ and $f \in L_2(\Omega \times (0,T_0))$. The existence of the local solutions is proved by the Leray-Schauder fixed point theorem, where the necessary a priori estimate is possible thanks to the restriction on T_0 (see Lemma 2.5). We are not able to extend the local solution on the interval $(T_0, 2T_0)$ without an additional estimate on $\|v(T_0)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$. However, to prove a global existence we have to show that

$$\|v(kT_0)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \le \|v(0)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{1.9}$$

To show (1.9) we separate cylinder Ω into two parts: a cylinder of radius r_0, $\Omega_{r_0} = \{x \in \Omega :\ r < 2r_0\}$ (a neighborhood of the axis of symmetry) and the domain $\Omega_{\bar{r}_0} = \{x \in \Omega :\ r > r_0/2\}$ (a neighborhood located in a positive distance from the x_3-axis).
Since $\Omega = \Omega_{r_0} \cup \Omega_{\bar{r}_0}$ we subordinate a partition of unity corresponding to this division. The most difficult part is to prove the estimate

$$\|v'\|_{V_2^1(\Omega_{r_0}^T)} \le a_1, \tag{1.10}$$

where $v' = (v_r, v_z)$, $V_2^1(\Omega^T)$ is defined in Section 2, $T \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and a_1 is a constant depending on data.
However, (1.10) is proved for the local solution the bound a_1 does not depend on T. To prove (1.10) we need the Hölder continuity of swirl u with its vanishing on the axis of symmetry and the restriction

$$\max_t \max_{\Omega_{r_0}} |u| \le \sqrt[4]{\frac{5}{8}}\nu. \tag{1.11}$$

The results are proved in Section 3.

Hence (1.11) holds for r_0 sufficiently small and also for $v \in L_{10}(\Omega^T)$, so for local solution. This needs step by step in time approach. In view of (1.11) we have (1.10) with $a_1 = a_1(1/r_0)$, where a_1 is an increasing function. Estimate (1.10) is proved in a series of lemmas (see Lemmas 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.5, 4.6).
The next step is to prove the estimate (see Lemmas 6.4, 6.5)

$$\|v'\|_{V_2^1(\Omega_{\bar{r}_0}^T)} \le a_2, \quad T \in \mathbb{R}_+, \tag{1.12}$$

where a_2 is a constant depending on data. Finally, by Lemma 7.4 we have

$$\|v_\varphi(t)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \le a_3, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \tag{1.13}$$

where a_3 depends also on data.
However, estimates (4.10), (4.12), (4.13) are proved for the local solution quantities a_1, a_2, a_3 do not depend explicitly on time. The dependence on time is only by time integral norms of the external force.
Combining (1.10), (1.12) and (1.13) we derive the a priori estimate

$$\|v(t)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \le a_4, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \tag{1.14}$$

where a_4 depends on data but not on t.
A priori estimate (1.14) becomes a real estimate for the local solution in the interval $(0, T_0)$. Since (1.14) is a global type estimate the local solution can be extended step by step on $\mathbb{R}_+$ (see the Main Theorem below).

Main Theorem. *Let $T > 0$ be given. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Assume that*

1. $v(0) \in H^1(\Omega)$, $f \in L_2(\Omega \times (kT, (k+1)T)) \cap L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; L_{6/5}(\Omega))$ *(see Lemmas 2.2, 2.3)*
2. $u_0 = rv_\varphi(0) \in L_\infty(\Omega)$, $g = rf_\varphi \in L_\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ *(see Lemma 3.1)*
3. $u_0 \in C^\alpha(\Omega)$, $g \in C^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(\Omega \times (kT, (k+1)T))$, $\alpha \in (0, 1/2]$ *(see Lemma 3.2)*
4. $\chi = v_{r,z} - v_{z,r}$, $\frac{\chi(0)}{r} \in L_2(\Omega)$, $F = f_{r,z} - f_{z,r}$, $\frac{F}{r} \in L_2(\Omega \times (kT, (k+1)T))$, $\frac{v_\varphi(0)}{r} \in L_4(\Omega)$, $\frac{f_\varphi}{\sqrt{r}} \in L_{20/11}(\Omega \times (kT, (k+1)T))$
5. *there exists $r_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\|u\|_{L_\infty(\Omega_{r_0} \times (kT, (k+1)T))} \le \sqrt[4]{\frac{a}{3}}\,\nu, \quad a < 1$$

where $\Omega_{r_0} = \{x \in \Omega :\ r < 2r_0\}$.
Then there exists a solution to problem (1.1) such that $v \in W_2^{2,1}(\Omega \times (kT, (k+1)))$, $\nabla p \in L_2(\Omega \times (kT, (k+1)T))$ and the estimate holds

$$\begin{aligned} &\|v\|_{W_2^{2,1}(\Omega \times (kT,(k+1)T))} + \|\nabla p\|_{L_2(\Omega \times (kT,(k+1)T))} \\ &\le c(B_0(1/r_0, d_4, d_5, d_6, d_7, d_8, d_9) + \|f\|_{L_2(\Omega \times (kT,(k+1)T))} \\ &\quad + \|v(kT)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}) \end{aligned} \tag{1.15}$$

where (see Lemma 8.2)

$$\begin{aligned} &\|v(kT)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \le c(B_0 + X(0)e^{-\nu_0 kT}), \\ &\chi^2(0) = \frac{1}{r^2}\left\|\frac{v_\varphi^2(0)}{r}\right\|^2_{L_2(\Omega)} + \left\|\frac{\chi(0)}{r}\right\|^2_{L_2(\Omega)} \end{aligned}$$

and B_0 is an increasing positive function of its arguments, where d_4 is introduced in (2.1), d_5 in (2.2), d_6 in (3.1), d_7 in (2.15), d_8 and d_9 in Lemma 8.2.

In the famous paper of Caffarelli, Kohn, Nirenberg [CKN] there is shown that the singular set for solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations might have at most an one-dimensional Hausdorff measure. Therefore is can be expected that for axially symmetric solutions such singularity might appear on the axis of symmetry. It is shown in this paper that v_r, v_φ, u vanish on the axis of symmetry, so the considered solution behaves there very regularly. In [Z8] existence of global regular axially symmetric solutions with prescribed sufficiently small initial swirl in some neighborhood of the axis of symmetry is proved. Then the property is preserved. In this paper the property is shown without any smallness restrictions on the initial data. Otherwise the necessary a priori estimate can not be derived.

In this paper we generalize the result from [Z1–Z3] to the problem with an external force and the slip boundary conditions on whole boundary. The result is appropriate for examining stability of the axially symmetric solutions.

The generalization is not trivial because the external force has a strong influence on any solution to the Navier-Stokes equations. The external force has an opposite influence to the dissipation. Therefore a global existence can be proved if the dissipation prevails the influence of the external force. To escape restrictions that velocity and the external force vanish as time converges to infinity we prove existence step by step on each finite time interval $[kT,(k+1)T]$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. This approach needs that the following inequality must be shown

$$(1.16) \qquad \|v((k+1)T)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \le \|v(kT)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

which follows from the decay properties of the Navier-Stokes equations (see Sections 7 and 8). We have to emphasize that to derive (1.16) T must be sufficiently large. Moreover, the approach needs that existence must be proved in each time interval $[kT,(k+1)T]$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, separately. This follows from Lemma 2.5 and Theorem 8.1.

The Main Theorem says that however v_r, v_φ vanish on the axis of symmetry v_z remains large and is only restricted by estimate (1.15). Hence, considering an inflow-outflow conditions on S_2 seems that v_z can be made as much as we want.

This paper is organized in the following way. In Section 2 there are formulated energy type estimates for solutions to problem (1.1) without showing

existence (see Lemmas 2.2, 2.3). Existence is very well presented in [CKN, L2, T]. However, in our case, we do not need existence of weak solutions because existence in each time interval $(kT,(k+1)T)$ is proved by the Leray-Schauder fixed point theorem. For this we need only an appropriate a priori estimate. Moreover, in Section 2 there are formulated problems for u (see (2.23)) and χ (see (2.25)). Finally local existence of solutions to (1.1) ($v\in W_2^{2,1}(\Omega\times(kT,(k+1)T))$, $\nabla p\in L_2(\Omega\times(kT,(k+1)T))$, $k\in\mathbb{N}_0$) is proved in Lemma 2.5. In Section 3 boundedness and the Hölder continuity of swirl is proved (see Lemmas 3.1, 3.2). In Section 4 a priori estimate in a neighborhood of the axis of symmetry is proved. A crucial point of getting the estimate is restriction (1.11) (see (4.30)) which implies a different treatment near the axis of symmetry and far of it.
In Section 6 in view of estimate $\|\chi/r\|_{V_2^0(\Omega_{r_0}^t)}\le A$ (see (6.1)) there is proved that

$$\|v'(t)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}\le cA, \tag{1.17}$$

where A is defined by (6.2). Finally, in Section 7 the estimate is found

$$\|v_\varphi(t)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}\le\varphi(A_*), \tag{1.18}$$

where A_* is introduced in (6.5).
Thanks to estimates (1.17) and (1.18) global existence of regular solutions such $v\in W_2^{2,1}(\Omega\times(kT,(k+1)T))$, $\nabla p\in L_2(\Omega\times(kT,(k+1)T))$ is proved step by step in Section 8.

2. Notation and auxiliary results

By c we denote a generic constant which changes its value from line to line. A constant c_k with index k is defined by the first formula, where it appears. By ϕ we denote the generic functions which changes its form from formula to formula and is always positive and increasing function. By $c(\sigma)$ we denote a generic constant increasing with σ.
We use also the notation

$$\Omega_\varepsilon=\{x\in\Omega:\ \varepsilon<r\},$$
$$\Omega_\varepsilon\cap\operatorname{supp}\zeta=\Omega_{\varepsilon,\zeta},\quad \Omega_\varepsilon\cap\operatorname{supp}\zeta_{,r}=\Omega_{\varepsilon,\zeta_{,r}},\quad \Omega\cap\operatorname{supp}\zeta_{,r}=\Omega_{\zeta,r}.$$

Definition 2.1. By $V_2^k(\Omega^T)$, $k\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}\equiv\mathbb{N}_0$, we denote a space of functions with the finite norm

$$\|u\|_{V_2^k(\Omega^T)}=\|u\|_{L_\infty(0,T;H^k(\Omega))}+\|\nabla u\|_{L_2(0,T;H^k(\Omega))},$$

where $H^0(\Omega)=L_2(\Omega)$ and $H^k(\Omega)=W_2^k(\Omega)$ is a Sobolev space with the finite norm $\|u\|_{H^k(\Omega)}=\big(\sum_{|\alpha|\le k}\int_\Omega|D_x^\alpha u|^2dx\big)^{1/2}$, where $D_x^\alpha=\partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1}\partial_{x_2}^{\alpha_2}\partial_{x_3}^{\alpha_3}$, $|\alpha|=\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3$, $\alpha_i\in\mathbb{N}_0$, $i=1,2,3$.

Lemma 2.2. *Assume that* $v(0)\in L_2(\Omega)$, $f\in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+;L_{6/5}(\Omega))$, $g=f\cdot\eta$, $u=v\cdot\eta$, $\eta=(-x_2,x_1,0)$, $u(0)\in L_\infty(\Omega)$. *Assume that there exist positive constants* d_0, d_1, d_2 *such that*

$$\|v(0)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}\le d_0,\quad \|f\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+;L_{6/5}(\Omega))}\le d_2,$$

$$\sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}\sup_{t\in(kT,(k+1)T]}\bigg|\int\limits_{kT}^{t}\int\limits_\Omega gdxdt'+\int\limits_\Omega u(kT)dx\bigg|\le d_1.$$

Assume that $\nu_*=\frac{\nu}{c_k}$, *where* c_k *is the constant from the Korn inequality (see (2.4)). Assume that* $\nu_*=\nu_1+\nu_2$, $\nu_i>0$, $i=1,2$.
Assume that $T>0$ *is fixed and* $k\in\mathbb{N}_0$. *Then for the weak solutions to problem (1.1) we have the estimates*

$$\|v(t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2\le\frac{d_3^2}{1-e^{-\nu_1T}}+e^{-\nu_1t}d_0^2\equiv d_4^2,\quad t\in\mathbb{R}_+, \tag{2.1}$$

where $d_3=\frac{1}{\nu_1}\big(\frac{1}{\nu_*}d_2^2+2\nu d_1^2\big)$ *and*

$$\begin{aligned}\|v\|_{V_2^0(\Omega\times(kT,t))}^2&\le\frac{d_3^2}{\nu_2}e^{\nu_1T}+\bigg(1+\frac{1}{\nu_2}\bigg)\bigg(\frac{2d_3^2}{1-e^{-\nu_1T}}+e^{-\nu_1kT}d_0^2\bigg)\\&\le\bigg(1+\frac{1}{\nu_2}\bigg)\bigg[\frac{2d_3^2}{1-e^{-\nu_1T}}e^{\nu_1T}+d_0^2\bigg]\equiv d_5^2,\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where $t\in(kT,(k+1)T)$, $k\in\mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof. Multiplying (1.1) by v, integrating over Ω, using $(1.1)_2$ and the boundary conditions we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|v\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2+\nu E_\Omega(v)=\int\limits_\Omega f\cdot vdx, \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$E_\Omega(v)=\|\mathbb{D}(v)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2.$$

From [Z4, Ch. 4, Lemma 2.4] we have the Korn inequality

$$\|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2\le c_k\bigg(E_\Omega(v)+\bigg|\int\limits_\Omega v\cdot\eta dx\bigg|^2\bigg), \tag{2.4}$$

where $\eta = (-x_2, x_1, 0)$, $v \cdot \eta = rv_\varphi = u$.
Now we calculate the last term on the r.h.s. of (2.4). Multiplying $(1.1)_1$ by η, integrating over Ω and using $(1.1)_2$, $(1.1)_3$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega v \cdot \eta dx - \int_\Omega v_i v_j \nabla_i \eta_j dx + \int_\Omega \mathbb{T}_{ij} \nabla_i \eta_j dx \\ &= \int_\Omega f \cdot \eta dx, \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where $\mathbb{T}(v,p) = \{\mathbb{T}_{ij}\}_{i,j=1,2,3}$ is the stress tensor of the form

$$\mathbb{T}(v,p) = \nu \mathbb{D}(v) - pI,$$

I is the unit matrix and the summation convention over the repeated indices is assumed. Since $\nabla\eta$ is an antisymmetric tensor equation (2.5) implies

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega v \cdot \eta dx = \int_\Omega f \cdot \eta dx. \tag{2.6}$$

Integrating (2.6) with respect to time from kT to $t \in (kT, (k+1)T]$ yields

$$\int_\Omega u(t) dx = \int_{kT}^t \int_\Omega g dx dt' + \int_\Omega u(kT) dx. \tag{2.7}$$

Using (2.4) and (2.7) in (2.3) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|v\|^2_{L_2(\Omega)} + \nu_* \|v\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} &\le \int_\Omega f \cdot v dx + \nu \bigg| \int_{kT}^t \int_\Omega g dx dt' \\ &+ \int_\Omega u(kT) dx \bigg|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where the inequality is considered in the time interval $[kT, (k+1)T]$.
Applying the Hölder and the Young inequalities to the first term on the r.h.s. of (2.8) and multiplying the result by 2 we derive

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|v\|^2_{L_2(\Omega)} + \nu_* \|v\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} \le \frac{1}{\nu_*}\|f\|^2_{L_{6/5}(\Omega)} + 2\nu d_1^2 \tag{2.9}$$

Employing the decomposition $\nu_* = \nu_1 + \nu_2$ inequality (2.9) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt}(v\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 e^{\nu_1 t}) + \nu_2 \|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 e^{\nu_1 t} \\ &\le \left(\frac{1}{\nu_*} d_2^2 + 2\nu d_1^2\right) e^{\nu_1 t} \equiv \nu_1 d_3^2 e^{\nu_1 t}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Omitting the second term on the l.h.s. of (2.10) and integrating the result with respect to time from kT to $t \in (kT, (k+1)T]$ yields

$$\|v(t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \le d_3^2 + \|v(kT)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 e^{-\nu_1 (t-kT)}.$$

By iteration we obtain

$$\|v(kT)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \le \frac{d_3^2}{1 - e^{-\nu_1 T}} + \|v(0)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 e^{-\nu_1 kT}.$$

Hence for $t \in (kT, (k+1)T]$ we get

$$\|v(t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \le d_3^2 \frac{2 - e^{-\nu_1 T}}{1 - e^{-\nu_1 T}} + \|v(0)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 e^{-\nu_1 T} \tag{2.11}$$

so (2.1) holds. Integrating (2.10) with respect to time from kT to $t \in (kT, (k+1)T]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|v(t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \nu_2 e^{-\nu_1 t} \int_{kT}^{t} \|v(t')\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 e^{\nu_1 t'} dt' \\ &\le d_3^2 + \|v(kT)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 e^{-\nu_1 (t-kT)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Continuing, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|v(t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \nu_2 e^{-\nu_1 (t-kT)} \int_{kT}^{t} \|v(t')\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 dt' \\ &\le d_3^2 + \|v(kT)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 e^{-\nu_1 (t-kT)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Finally, (2.13) implies

$$\int_{kT}^{t} \|v(t')\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 dt' \le \frac{d_3^2}{\nu_2} e^{\nu_1 T} + \frac{1}{\nu_2}\left(\frac{d_3^2}{1 - e^{-\nu_1 T}} + e^{-\nu_1 kT} d_0^2\right). \tag{2.14}$$

Combining (2.11) and (2.14) gives (2.2). This concludes the proof.

Lemma 2.3. *Let the assumptions of Lemma 2.2 hold. Let* $f \in L_2(\Omega \times (kT,t))$, $t \in (kT,(k+1)T]$. *For weak solutions to problem (1.3)–(1.8) the following estimate holds*

$$\begin{aligned}\|v\|^2_{V_2^0(\Omega\times(kT,t))} &+ \left\|\frac{v_r}{r}\right\|^2_{L_2(\Omega\times(kT,t))}\\ &+ \left\|\frac{v_\varphi}{r}\right\|^2_{L_2(\Omega\times(kT,t))} \le c(T+1)d_4^2 + c\|f\|^2_{L_2(\Omega\times(kT,t))} \equiv d_7^2,\end{aligned}\tag{2.15}$$

where $t \in (kT,(k+1)T]$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof. Multiplying (1.3) by v_r, integrating over Ω and using boundary conditions (1.7) yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega v_r^2dx - \int_\Omega \frac{v_\varphi^2}{r}v_rdx + \nu\int_\Omega (v_{r,r}^2 + v_{r,z}^2)dx\\ &\quad + \nu\int_\Omega \frac{v_r^2}{r^2}dx = -\int_\Omega p_{,r}v_rdx + \int_\Omega f_rv_rdx.\end{aligned}\tag{2.16}$$

Multiplying (1.4) by v_φ, integrating over Ω and using boundary conditions (1.7) implies

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega v_\varphi^2dx + \int_\Omega \frac{v_r}{r}v_\varphi^2dx + \nu\int_\Omega (v_{\varphi,r}^2 + v_{\varphi,z}^2)dx - \nu\int_{-a}^{a} v_\varphi^2dz\\ &\quad + \nu\int_\Omega \frac{v_\varphi^2}{r^2}dx = \int_\Omega f_\varphi v_\varphi dx.\end{aligned}\tag{2.17}$$

Multiplying (1.5) by v_z, integrating over Ω and using the boundary conditions (1.7) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega v_z^2dx + \nu\int_\Omega (v_{z,r}^2 + v_{z,z}^2)dx = -\int_\Omega p_{,z}v_zdx + \int_\Omega f_zv_zdx.\tag{2.18}$$

Adding the above equations, using (1.6), the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}&\|v_\varphi\|^2_{L_2(S_1)} \le \varepsilon\|\nabla v_\varphi\|^2_{L_2(\Omega)} + c(1/\varepsilon)\|v_\varphi\|^2_{L_2(\Omega)},\\ &\int_\Omega (v_r^2 + v_\varphi^2)dx \le R^2\int_\Omega \left(\frac{v_r^2}{r^2} + \frac{v_\varphi^2}{r^2}\right)dx,\end{aligned}$$

and the Poincare inequality for v_z we arrive to the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\tag{2.19} &\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_\Omega v^2dx+\nu\int\limits_\Omega|\nabla v|^2dx+\nu\int\limits_\Omega\bigg(\frac{v_r^2}{r^2}+\frac{v_\varphi^2}{r^2}\bigg)dx\\ &\le c\bigg(\int\limits_\Omega v_\varphi^2dx+\int\limits_\Omega f^2dx\bigg).\end{aligned}$$

Integrating (2.19) with respect to time from kT to t and using (2.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\tag{2.20} &\|v\|^2_{V_2^0(\Omega\times(kT,t))}+\nu\int\limits_{kT}^t\int\limits_\Omega\bigg(\frac{v_r^2}{r^2}+\frac{v_\varphi^2}{r^2}\bigg)dxdt'\\ &\le cTd_4^2+c\|f\|^2_{L_2(\Omega\times(kT,t))}+\|v(kT)\|^2_{L_2(\Omega)}.\end{aligned}$$

Using again (2.1) we obtain (2.15). This concludes the proof.

Let us consider the Stokes problem

$$\begin{aligned}\tag{2.21} &v_t-\operatorname{div}\mathbb{T}(v,p)=f && \text{in } \Omega^T,\\ &\operatorname{div}v=0 && \text{in } \Omega^T,\\ &v\cdot\bar n=0,\quad \bar n\cdot\mathbb{D}(v)\cdot\bar\tau_\alpha=0,\quad \alpha=1,2, && \text{on } S^T,\\ &v|_{t=0}=v_0 && \text{in } \Omega.\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.4. *(see [S, Z7, ZZ]) Assume that $f\in L_s(\Omega^T)$, $v_0\in W_s^{2-2/s}(\Omega)$, $s\in(1,\infty)$, $S_1\in C^2$. Then there exists a solution to problem (2.21) such that $v\in W_s^{2,1}(\Omega^T)$, $\nabla p\in L_s(\Omega^T)$ and there exists a constant $c_0=c(\Omega,s)$ such that*

$$\tag{2.22} \|v\|_{W_s^{2,1}(\Omega^T)}+\|\nabla p\|_{L_s(\Omega^T)}\le c(\Omega,s)(\|f\|_{L_s(\Omega^T)}+\|v_0\|_{W_s^{2-2/s}(\Omega)}).$$

From (1.4) and (1.7) we obtain the following problem for swirl $u=rv_\varphi$

$$\begin{aligned}\tag{2.23} &u_t+v\cdot\nabla u-\nu\Delta u+2\nu\frac{u_{,r}}{r}=rf_\varphi\equiv g && \text{in } \Omega^T,\\ &u_{,r}=\frac{2}{r}u && \text{on } S_1^T,\\ &u_{,z}=0 && \text{on } S_2^T,\\ &u|_{t=0}=u_0 && \text{in } \Omega,\end{aligned}$$

where v is the divergence free vector.

Let us introduce the φ-component of vorticity by

$$\chi = v_{r,z} - v_{z,r}. \tag{2.24}$$

In view of (1.3), (1.5) and (1.7), χ is a solution to the problem (see [Z4, Ch. 4, Sect. 3 (3.1) and Lemma 2.2 (2.13)])

$$\begin{aligned} &\chi_t + v\cdot\nabla\chi - \frac{v_r}{r}\chi - \nu\bigg[\bigg(r\Big(\frac{\chi}{r}\Big)_{,r}\bigg)_{,r} + \chi_{,zz} + 2\Big(\frac{\chi}{r}\Big)_{,r}\bigg] \\ &\quad = \frac{2v_\varphi v_{\varphi,z}}{r} + F && \text{in } \ \Omega^T, \\ &\chi|_S = 0 && \text{on } \ S^T, \\ &\chi|_{t=0} = \chi_0 && \text{in } \ \Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

where $F = f_{r,z} - f_{z,r}$. To prove global regular solutions to problem (1.1) we need a priori estimate with weights which are singular on the axis of symmetry (see [Z1]). Therefore it is convenient to consider instead of problem (1.1) the following approximated problem

$$\begin{aligned} &v_t + v\cdot\nabla v - \nu\Delta v + \nabla p = f && \text{in } \ \Omega_\varepsilon^T, \\ &\operatorname{div} v = 0 && \text{in } \ \Omega_\varepsilon^T, \\ &\bar n\cdot v = 0, \quad \bar n\cdot\mathbb{D}(v)\cdot\bar\tau_\alpha = 0, \quad \alpha = 1,2, && \text{on } \ S_1^T\cup S_\varepsilon^T\cup S_{2\varepsilon}^T, \\ &v|_{t=0} = v_0 && \text{in } \ \Omega_\varepsilon, \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

where $\Omega_\varepsilon = \{x\in\Omega:\ r>\varepsilon\}$, $S_\varepsilon = \{x\in\mathbb{R}^3:\ r=\varepsilon,\ |z|<a\}$, $S_{2\varepsilon} = \{x\in S_2:\ r>\varepsilon\}$. Setting $\varepsilon = 0$ we obtain problem (1.1).

Lemma 2.5. *Assume that $v(0)\in H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)$, $f\in L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon^T)$, $\varepsilon\ge 0$, $T>0$. Assume that T is so small that*

$$\varphi(c_0,c_1,c_2)T^{1/2}d_4[\|f\|^3_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon^T)} + \|v(0)\|^3_{H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)} + T^{3/2}d_4^3 + 1] \le 1/2, \tag{2.27}$$

where φ is some positive increasing function of its arguments and d_4 is introduced in (2.1). Then there exists a solution to problem (2.26) such that $v\in W_2^{2,1}(\Omega_\varepsilon^T)$, $\nabla p\in L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon^T)$ and

$$\|v\|_{W_2^{2,1}(\Omega_\varepsilon^T)} + \|\nabla p\|_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon^T)} \le 8c_0(\|f\|_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon^T)} + \|v(0)\|_{H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)}), \tag{2.28}$$

where c_0 appears in (2.22), c_1 in (2.30) and c_2 in (2.31). The existence and the estimate hold also for $\varepsilon = 0$.

Proof. Since we are going to prove the existence of solutions to problem (2.26) by the Leray-Schauder fixed point theorem we restrict the proof to show a priori estimate (2.28) only because other steps of it are clear. In the proof we omit the index ε for simplicity.

Applying Lemma 2.4 with $s=2$ to problem (2.26) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\|v\|_{W_2^{2,1}(\Omega^T)}+\|\nabla p\|_{L_2(\Omega^T)}\le c_0(&\|v\cdot\nabla v\|_{L_2(\Omega^T)}\\ &+\|f\|_{L_2(\Omega^T)}+\|v(0)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}).\end{aligned}\tag{2.29}$$

Now we examine the first term on the r.h.s. of (2.29). We estimate it by

$$\begin{aligned}&\bigg(\int_0^T dt\int_\Omega|v\cdot\nabla v|^2dx\bigg)^{1/2}\le\bigg(\int_0^T\|v(t)\|^2_{L_\infty(\Omega)}\|\nabla v(t)\|^2_{L_2(\Omega)}dt\bigg)^{1/2}\\ &\le\sup_t\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}\bigg(\int_0^T\|v(t)\|^2_{L_\infty(\Omega)}dt\bigg)^{1/2}\equiv I_1.\end{aligned}$$

From [BIN, Ch. 3, Sect. 15] we have the interpolation

$$\|v\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)}\le c_1\|v_{xx}\|^{3/4}_{L_2(\Omega)}\|v\|^{1/4}_{L_2(\Omega)}+c_1\|v\|_{L_2(\Omega)}.\tag{2.30}$$

Employing (2.30) in I_1 yields

$$\begin{aligned}I_1\le c_1\sup_t\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}(&T^{1/8}\|v_{xx}\|^{3/4}_{L_2(\Omega^T)}\sup_t\|v(t)\|^{1/4}_{L_2(\Omega)}\\ &+T^{1/2}\sup_t\|v(t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)})\equiv I_2.\end{aligned}$$

Using the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_t\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}\le\sup_t\|v(t)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}\\ &\le c_2(\|v\|_{W_2^{2,1}|(\Omega^T)}+\|v(0)\|_{H^1(\Omega)})\end{aligned}\tag{2.31}$$

and the energy estimate (2.1) in I_2 we obtain from (2.29) the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\|v\|_{W_2^{2,1}(\Omega^T)}+\|\nabla p\|_{L_2(\Omega^T)}&\le c_0c_1c_2(\|v\|_{W_2^{2,1}(\Omega^T)}\\ &+\|v(0)\|_{H^1(\Omega)})(T^{1/8}\|v\|^{3/4}_{W_2^{2,1}(\Omega^T)}d_4^{1/4}+T^{1/2}d_4)\\ &+c_0(\|f\|_{L_2(\Omega^T)}+\|v(0)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}).\end{aligned}\tag{2.32}$$

Assuming that T is so small that

$$c_0c_1c_2(T^{1/8}\|v\|^{3/4}_{W_2^{2,1}(\Omega^T)}d_4^{1/4}+T^{1/2}d_4)\le\frac{1}{2}\tag{2.33}$$

we derive from (2.32) the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|v\|_{W_2^{2,1}(\Omega^T)}+\|\nabla p\|_{L_2(\Omega^T)}\le 2c_0c_1c_2\cdot\\
&\quad\cdot(T^{1/8}\|v\|^{3/4}_{W_2^{2,1}(\Omega^T)}d_4^{1/4}+T^{1/2}d_4)\|v(0)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}\\
&\quad+2c_0(\|f\|_{L_2(\Omega)}+\|v(0)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}).
\end{aligned}\tag{2.34}$$

The condition (2.33) is not written in a final form because it contains an unknown norm $\|v\|_{W_2^{2,1}(\Omega^T)}$. Applying the Young inequality to the first expression on the r.h.s. of (2.34) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|v\|_{W_2^{2,1}(\Omega^T)}+\|\nabla p\|_{L_2(\Omega^T)}\le\frac{\varepsilon^{4/3}}{4/3}\|v\|_{W_2^{2,1}(\Omega^T)}\\
&+\frac{1}{4\varepsilon^4}(2c_0c_1c_2T^{1/8}d_4\|v(0)\|_{H^1(\Omega)})^4+2c_0c_1c_2T^{1/2}d_4\|v(0)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}\\
&+2c_0(\|f\|_{L_2(\Omega^T)}+\|v(0)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Setting $\frac{\varepsilon^{4/3}}{4/3}=\frac{1}{2}$ we obtain that $\varepsilon=\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{3/4}$ so the above inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|v\|_{W_2^{2,1}(\Omega^T)}+\|\nabla p\|_{L_2(\Omega^T)}\le 27(c_0c_1c_2)^4T^{1/2}d_4\|v(0)\|^4_{H^1(\Omega)}\\
&\quad+4c_0c_1c_2T^{1/2}d_4\|v(0)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}+4c_0(\|f\|_{L_2(\Omega^T)}+\|v(0)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}).
\end{aligned}\tag{2.35}$$

Assuming that T is so small that

$$27(c_0c_1c_2)^4T^{1/2}d_4\|v(0)\|^3_{H^1(\Omega)}+4c_0c_1c_2T^{1/2}d_4\le 4c_0\tag{2.36}$$

we obtain that (2.35) implies (2.28). Using (2.28) we express condition (2.33) in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
&8(c_0c_1c_2)^4T^{1/2}d_4[(8c_0)^3(\|f\|^3_{L_2(\Omega^T)}+\|v(0)\|^3_{H^1(\Omega)})\\
&\quad+(T^{1/2}d_4)^3]\le\frac{1}{2}
\end{aligned}\tag{2.37}$$

There exists a function $\varphi(c_0,c_1,c_2)$ such that (2.36) and (2.37) imply (2.27). This concludes the proof.

3. Regularity of swirl u

Let us recall that u is a solution to problem (2.23). To show L_∞ estimate of u we need some notation

$$A_k(t)=\{x\in\Omega:\ u(x,t)>k\},\quad u^{(k)}=\max\{u-k,0\}$$

$$\mu(k)=\int_0^T\operatorname{meas}^{\frac{r}{q}}A_k(t)dt,$$

where $\frac{3}{q}+\frac{2}{r}=\frac{3}{2}$.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that* $u_0 \in L_\infty(\Omega)$, $g \in L_\infty(\Omega^T)$. *Then there exists a constant* d_6 *depending on* $\|u_0\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)}$, $\|g\|_{L_\infty(\Omega^T)}$ *such that*

$$\|u\|_{L_\infty(\Omega^T)} \le d_6. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. Multiplying $(2.23)_1$ by $u^{(k)}$ and integrating the result over Ω yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega |u^{(k)}|^2 dx + \nu\int_\Omega |\nabla u^{(k)}|^2 dx - \nu\int_{-a}^{a} |u^{(k)}|_{r=R}|^2 dz \\ &+ 2\nu\int_\Omega u_{,r}u^{(k)} drdz = \int_\Omega gu^{(k)} dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

The last term on the l.h.s. of (3.2) equals

$$\nu\int_\Omega (|u^{(k)}|^2)_{,r} drdz = \nu\int_{-a}^{a} |u^{(k)}|_{r=R}|^2 dz$$

because $u^{(k)}|_{r=0} = 0$. Otherwise the condition $\int_0^T\int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{r^4} dxdt < \infty$ (see (2.15)) implies a contradition for $u > k$. Hence, (3.2) takes the form

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega |u^{(k)}|^2 dx + \nu\int_\Omega |\nabla u^{(k)}|^2 dx = \int_\Omega gu^{(k)} dx. \tag{3.3}$$

Integrating (3.3) with respect to time and using that $k > \|u_0\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^{(k)}\|^2_{V_2^0(\Omega^T)} &\le c\int_{\Omega^T} |gu^{(k)}| dxdt \\ &\le c\|g\|_{L_\infty(\Omega^T)}\|u^{(k)}\|_{L_{10/3}(\Omega^T)}(\mu(k))^{7/10} \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

In view of imbedding $V_2^0(\Omega^T) \subset L_{10/3}(\Omega^T)$ we have

$$\|u^{(k)}\|_{V_2^0(\Omega^T)} \le c\|g\|_{L_\infty(\Omega^T)}|\mu(k)|^{\frac{3}{10}(1+\varkappa)}, \tag{3.5}$$

where $\frac{7}{10} = \frac{3}{10}(1+\varkappa)$ so $\frac{7}{3} = 1+\varkappa$, $\varkappa = \frac{4}{3}$ and $\mu(k)$ is calculated for $r = q = \frac{10}{3}$. From [LSU, Ch. 2, Sect. 6, Theorem 6.1] we conclude the proof.

Repeating the considerations from [Z3] and [LSU, Ch. 2, Sect. 8] we have

Lemma 3.2. *(Hölder continuity of swirl). Let* $g \in C^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(\Omega^T)$, $u_0 \in C^\alpha(\Omega)$, $\alpha \in (0,1/2]$. *Let* $v \in L_{10}(\Omega^T)$. *Then* $u \in C^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(\Omega^T)$.

For more details see [B].

Lemma 3.3. *Let the assumptions of Lemmas 3.1 and 2.3 hold. Then*

$$\|v_\varphi\|^4_{L_4(\Omega^t)} \le d_6^2 d_7^2, \quad t \le T, \tag{3.6}$$

where d_6 *is introduced by (3.1) and* d_7 *by (2.15).*

4. A priori estimates for χ in a neighborhood of the x_3-axis

First we examine the elliptic problem

$$\begin{aligned} & v_{r,z} - v_{z,r} = \chi \qquad \text{in } \ \Omega, \\ & v_{r,r} + v_{z,z} + \frac{v_r}{r} = 0 \quad \text{in } \ \Omega, \\ & v_r|_{S_1} = 0, \quad v_z|_{S_2} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Expressing $(4.1)_2$ in the form

$$(rv_r)_{,r} + (rv_z)_{,z} = 0 \tag{4.2}$$

we have existence of a potential $\psi = \psi(r,z,t)$ such that

$$v_r = \frac{\psi_{,z}}{r}, \quad v_z = -\frac{\psi_{,r}}{r}. \tag{4.3}$$

Then the boundary conditions $(4.1)_3$ are satisfied if

(4.4)

$\psi|_{S_1} = 0$ so $\psi(R,z,t) = 0$ and $\psi|_{S_2} = 0$ so $\psi(r,-a,t) = \psi(r,a,t) = 0$.

Therefore, problem (4.1) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\psi_{,z}}{r}\right)_{,z} + \left(\frac{\psi_{,r}}{r}\right)_{,r} = \chi \quad \text{in } \ \Omega, \\ & \psi|_S = 0 \qquad\qquad\qquad\quad \text{on } \ S. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

To obtain an a priori estimate for $v' = (v_r, v_z)$ near the x_3-axis we have to work with problems with singular coefficients on it. Therefore, we can work either with functions vanishing sufficiently fast near the axis of symmetry or examining approximate solutions described by (2.26). We shall restrict our considerations to the second case because it seems to be more precise. Then problem (2.25) for χ takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}
&\chi_{,t} + v\cdot\nabla\chi - \frac{v_r}{r}\chi - \nu\bigg[\bigg(r\Big(\frac{\chi}{r}\Big)_{,r}\bigg)_{,r} + \chi_{,zz} + 2\Big(\frac{\chi}{r}\Big)_{,r}\bigg]\\
&\quad= \frac{2v_\varphi v_{\varphi,z}}{r} + F && \text{in } \ \Omega_\varepsilon^T,\\
&\chi = 0 && \text{on } \ S_1^T\cup S_\varepsilon^T\cup S_{2\varepsilon}^T,\\
&\chi|_{t=0} = \chi_0 && \text{in } \ \Omega_\varepsilon,
\end{aligned}\tag{4.6}$$

where Ω_ε, S_ε, ${}_{2\varepsilon}$ are defined below problem (2.26).
The condition $\chi|_{S_\varepsilon} = 0$ follows from [Z4, Lemma 2.2]. Hence we assume that

$$\chi = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad r \le \varepsilon. \tag{4.7}$$

Solutions of (2.26) and (4.6) should be labeled with index ε which we omit for simplicity. To examine a behavior of solutions in a neighborhood of the axis of symmetry we introduce a smooth cut-off function $\zeta_1 = \zeta_1(r)$ such that $\zeta_1(r) = 1$ for $r \le r_0$ and $\zeta_1(r) = 0$ for $r \ge 2r_0$, where $2r_0 < R$. Let us introduce the notation

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde\chi = \chi\zeta_1^2, \quad \tilde v_\varphi = v_\varphi\zeta_1, \quad \tilde v' = v'\zeta_1^2, \quad \tilde F = F\zeta_1^2,\\
&\tilde f' = f'\zeta_1^2, \quad \tilde f_\varphi = f_\varphi\zeta_1.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.8}$$

Then $\tilde\chi$ is a solution to the problem

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde\chi_{,t} + v\cdot\nabla\tilde\chi - \frac{v_r}{r}\tilde\chi - \nu\bigg[\bigg(r\Big(\frac{\tilde\chi}{r}\Big)_{,r}\bigg)_{,r} + \tilde\chi_{,zz} + 2\Big(\frac{\tilde\chi}{r}\Big)_{,r}\bigg]\\
&\quad= v\cdot\nabla\zeta_1^2\chi - \nu(\chi\zeta_{1,r}^2)_{,r} - \nu r\Big(\frac{\chi}{r}\Big)_{,r}\zeta_{1,r}^2 - 2\nu\Big(\frac{\chi}{r}\zeta_{1,r}^2\Big)\\
&\qquad + \frac{2\tilde v_\varphi\tilde v_{\varphi,z}}{r} + \tilde F && \text{in } \ \Omega_\varepsilon^T,\\
&\tilde\chi|_{r=2r_0} = 0, \quad \tilde\chi|_{r=\varepsilon} = 0, \quad \tilde\chi|_{S_2} = 0,\\
&\tilde\chi|_{t=0} = \tilde\chi_0 && \text{in } \ \Omega_\varepsilon.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.9}$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\Omega_\varepsilon = \{x\in\Omega : \ 0<\varepsilon<r\}$. Assume that there exists a weak solution to problem (1.1) described by Lemma 2.2. Assume that $\frac{\tilde v_\varphi}{r}\in L_4(\Omega_\varepsilon^T)$, $\chi\in L_{\frac{20}{7}}(\Omega\cap\operatorname{supp}\zeta_{1,r}\times(0,T))$, where $\operatorname{supp}\zeta_{1,r} = \{x\in\Omega_\varepsilon : r_0\le r\le 2r_0\}$ and $\frac{\tilde F}{r}\in L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon^T)$. Then for sufficiently smooth solutions to*

problem (1.1) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r}\right\|^2_{L_\infty(0,t;L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon))}+\nu\left\|\nabla\frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r}\right\|^2_{L_2(\Omega^t_\varepsilon)}\\
&\le\frac{2}{\nu}\left\|\frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi}{r}\right\|^4_{L_4(\Omega^t_\varepsilon)}+c(1/r_0)d_5^2+c(1/r_0)d_5\|\chi\|^2_{L_{\frac{20}{7}}(\Omega^t_{\varepsilon,\zeta_{1,r}})}\\
&\quad+\left\|\frac{\tilde{\chi}(0)}{r}\right\|^2_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}+c\left\|\frac{\tilde{F}}{r}\right\|_{L_2(\Omega^t_\varepsilon)},\quad t\le T,
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.10}
$$

where $\varepsilon<r_0$ and d_5 is introduced in (2.2).

Proof. Multiplying $(4.9)_1$ by $\frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r^2}$ and integrating the result over Ω_ε we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left\|\frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r}\right\|^2_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}+\nu\left\|\nabla\frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r}\right\|^2_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}\\
&=\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\bigg[v\cdot\nabla\zeta_1^2\chi\frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r^2}-\nu(\chi\zeta^2_{1,r})_{,r}\frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r^2}\\
&\quad-\nu r\left(\frac{\chi}{r}\right)_{,r}\zeta^2_{1,r}\frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r^2}-2\nu\frac{\chi}{r}\zeta^2_{1,r}\frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r^2}\bigg]dx\\
&\quad+2\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi\tilde{v}_{\varphi,z}}{r}\frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r^2}dx+\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\tilde{F}\frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r^2}dx.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.11}
$$

Now we estimate the particular terms on the r.h.s. of (4.11). The second term implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{(\tilde{v}^2_\varphi)_{,z}}{r^2}\frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r}dx=-\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{\tilde{v}^2_\varphi}{r^2}\left(\frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r}\right)_{,z}dx\\
&\le\frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\left(\frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r}\right)^2_{,z}dx+\frac{1}{2\varepsilon_1}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\left(\frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi}{r}\right)^4dx.
\end{aligned}
$$

To estimate the first term on the r.h.s. of (4.11) we use properties of the cut-off function $\zeta_1=\zeta_1(r)$. The first expression under the square bracket is bounded by

$$c(1/r_0)\int\limits_{\Omega_{\varepsilon,\zeta_{1,r}}}|v_r|\chi^2dx.$$

The fourth term under the square bracket is estimated by

$$c(1/r_0)\int\limits_{\Omega_{\varepsilon,\zeta_{1,r}}}\chi^2dx.$$

The second term under the square bracket equals

$$-\nu\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\chi_{,r}\zeta_{1,r}^2\zeta_1^2\frac{\chi}{r^2}dx-\nu\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\chi\zeta_{1,rr}^2\zeta_1^2\frac{\chi}{r^2}dx\equiv I_1.$$

Integrating by parts in the first integral in I_1 it takes the form

$$-\frac{\nu}{2}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}(\chi^2)_{,r}\frac{1}{r^2}\zeta_{1,r}^2\zeta_1^2dx=\frac{\nu}{2}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\chi^2\bigg(\zeta_{1,r}^2\zeta_1^2\frac{1}{r}\bigg)_{,r}drdz.$$

Hence

$$|I_1|\le c(1/r_0)\int\limits_{\Omega_{\varepsilon},\zeta_{1,r}}\chi^2dx$$

Finally, the third term under the square bracket yields

$$-\frac{\nu}{2}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\bigg[\bigg(\frac{\chi}{r}\bigg)^2\bigg]_{,r}\zeta_{1,r}^2\zeta_1^2dx=\frac{\nu}{2}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\bigg(\frac{\chi}{r}\bigg)^2(\zeta_{1,r}^2\zeta_1^2r)_{,r}drdz\equiv I_2.$$

Then,

$$|I_2|\le c(1/r_0)\int\limits_{\Omega_{\varepsilon},\zeta_{1,r}}\chi^2dx.$$

Applying the Cauchy inequalities and the Poincare inequality to the last term on the r.h.s. of (4.11) we estimate it by

$$\frac{\varepsilon_2}{2}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\bigg|\nabla\frac{\tilde\chi}{r}\bigg|^2dx+\frac{1}{2\varepsilon_2}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\bigg|\frac{\tilde F}{r}\bigg|^2dx.$$

Using the above estimates in (4.11) and assuming that $\varepsilon_1=\varepsilon_2=\frac{\nu}{2}$ we obtain from (4.11) the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\bigg\|\frac{\tilde\chi}{r}\bigg\|_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}^2+\frac{\nu}{2}\bigg\|\nabla\frac{\tilde\chi}{r}\bigg\|_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}^2\\ &\le\frac{1}{\nu}\bigg\|\frac{\tilde v_\varphi}{r}\bigg\|_{L_4(\Omega_\varepsilon)}^4+c(1/r_0)\int\limits_{\Omega_{\varepsilon},\zeta_{1,r}}|v_r|\chi^2dx\\ &\quad+c(1/r_0)\int\limits_{\Omega_{\varepsilon},\zeta_{1,r}}\chi^2dx+c\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\bigg|\frac{\tilde F}{r}\bigg|^2dx.\end{aligned}\tag{4.12}$$

Integrating (4.12) with respect to time and using estimate (2.2) to the third term on the r.h.s. of (4.12) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r}\right\|^2_{L_\infty(0,t;L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon))}+\nu\left\|\nabla\frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r}\right\|^2_{L_2(\Omega^t_\varepsilon)}\\
&\le\frac{2}{\nu}\left\|\frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi}{r}\right\|^4_{L_4(\Omega^t_\varepsilon)}+c(1/r_0)\int\limits_{\Omega^t_{\varepsilon,\zeta_{1,r}}}|v_r|\chi^2dxdt'\\
&\quad+c(1/r_0)d_5^2+\left\|\frac{\tilde{F}}{r}\right\|^2_{L_2(\Omega^t_\varepsilon)}+\left\|\frac{\tilde{\chi}(0)}{r}\right\|^2_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)},\quad t\le T.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.13}$$

Applying the Hölder inequality and using estimate (2.2) in the second term on the r.h.s. of (4.13) we estimate it by

$$\begin{aligned}
&c(1/r_0)\|v\|_{L_{10/3}(\Omega^t_\varepsilon)}\|\chi\|^2_{L_{20/7}(\Omega^t_{\varepsilon,\zeta_{1,r}})}\\
&\le c(1/r_0)d_5\|\chi\|_{L_{20/7}(\Omega^t_{\varepsilon,\zeta_{1,r}})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the estimate in (4.13) we obtain (4.10). This concludes the proof.

A crucial step in the proof of an a priori estimate in a neighborhood of the axis of symmetry is an estimate for v_φ (see [Z1]). Therefore we localize equation (1.4) with boundary and initial conditions included in (1.7) and (1.8). Hence we have the following problem

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{v}_{\varphi,t}+v\cdot\nabla\tilde{v}_\varphi+\frac{v_r}{r}\tilde{v}_\varphi-\nu\Delta\tilde{v}_\varphi+\nu\frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi}{r^2}=v\cdot\nabla\zeta_1v_\varphi\\
&\qquad-2\nu\nabla v_\varphi\nabla\zeta_1-\nu v_\varphi\Delta\zeta_1+\tilde{f}_\varphi\quad\text{in }\ \Omega^T_\varepsilon,\\
&\tilde{v}_\varphi|_{t=0}=\tilde{v}_\varphi(0),\\
&\tilde{v}_\varphi|_{r=2r_0}=0,\quad\tilde{v}_\varphi|_{r=\varepsilon}=0,\quad\tilde{v}_{\varphi,z}|_{S_2}=0.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.14}$$

Lemma 4.2. *Assume that* $\tilde{f}_\varphi/\sqrt{r}\in L_{20/11}(\Omega^t_\varepsilon)$, $\frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi(0)}{\sqrt{r}}\in L_4(\Omega_\varepsilon)$, $\varepsilon>0$. *Assume that estimates (2.2) and (3.1) hold,* d_5 *appears in (2.2) and* d_6 *in (3.1). Assume that* v *is a solution of (1.1). Then for solutions of (4.14) the inequality is valid*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{8}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{\tilde{v}^4_\varphi(t)}{r^2}dx+\frac{3}{8}\nu\int\limits_{\Omega^t_\varepsilon}\left|\nabla\frac{\tilde{v}^2_\varphi}{r}\right|^2dxdt'+\frac{3}{4}\nu\int\limits_{\Omega^t_\varepsilon}\frac{\tilde{v}^4_\varphi}{r^4}dxdt'\\
&\le\frac{3}{2}\int\limits_{\Omega^t_\varepsilon}\frac{|v_r|}{r}\frac{\tilde{v}^4_\varphi}{r^2}dxdt'+c(1/r_0)d_5^2(1+d_6)d_6^2+c\left\|\frac{\tilde{f}_\varphi}{r^{1/2}}\right\|^4_{L_{20/11}(\Omega^t_\varepsilon)}\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{4}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{\tilde{v}^4_\varphi(0)}{r^2}dx,\quad t\le T.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.15}$$

Proof. Multiplying $(4.14)_1$ by $\frac{\tilde v_\varphi|\tilde v_\varphi|^2}{r^2}$ and integrating over Ω_ε we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\partial_t\tilde v_\varphi\frac{\tilde v_\varphi|\tilde v_\varphi|^2}{r^2}dx+\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}v\cdot\nabla\tilde v_\varphi\frac{\tilde v_\varphi|\tilde v_\varphi|^2}{r^2}dx+\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{v_r}{r}\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^4}{r^2}dx\\
&\quad-\nu\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\Delta\tilde v_\varphi\frac{\tilde v_\varphi|\tilde v_\varphi|^2}{r^2}dx+\nu\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{|\tilde v_\varphi|^4}{r^4}dx\\
&=\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}v\cdot\nabla\zeta_1 v_\varphi\frac{\tilde v_\varphi|\tilde v_\varphi|^2}{r^2}dx-\nu\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}[2\nabla v_\varphi\nabla\zeta_1+v_\varphi\Delta\zeta_1]\frac{\tilde v_\varphi|\tilde v_\varphi|^2}{r^2}dx\\
&\quad+\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\tilde f_\varphi\tilde v_\varphi\frac{|\tilde v_\varphi|^2}{r^2}dx.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.16}$$

Now we examine the particular terms in (4.16). The first term on the l.h.s. of (4.16) equals

$$\frac{1}{4}\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^4}{r^2}dx.$$

The second term on the l.h.s. of (4.16) assumes the form

$$\frac14\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}v\cdot\nabla(\tilde v_\varphi^4)\frac{1}{r^2}dx=\frac14\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\left[v\cdot\nabla\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^4}{r^2}-v\cdot\nabla\frac{1}{r^2}\tilde v_\varphi^4\right]dx=\frac12\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{v_r}{r}\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^4}{r^2}dx,$$

where the first integral vanishes after integration by parts because $\operatorname{div} v = 0$ and $v\cdot\bar n|_{S_{2\varepsilon}}=0$, $\tilde v_\varphi=0$ on $r=2r_0$ and $r=\varepsilon$. Hence, the sum of the second and the third terms on the l.h.s. of (4.16) equals

$$\frac32\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{v_r}{r}\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^4}{r^2}dx.$$

Integrating by parts and using the boundary conditions in the fourth term on the l.h.s. of (4.16) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \nu\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\nabla\tilde v_\varphi\cdot\nabla\bigg(\tilde v_\varphi\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^2}{r^2}\bigg)dx = 3\nu\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}|\nabla\tilde v_\varphi|^2\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^2}{r^2}dx - 2\nu\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\tilde v_{\varphi,r}\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^3}{r^2}drdz\\
&= 3\nu\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\bigg|\nabla\tilde v_\varphi\frac{\tilde v_\varphi}{r}\bigg|^2dx - \frac{\nu}{2}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{(\tilde v_\varphi^4)_{,r}}{r^2}drdz\\
&= \frac{3}{4}\nu\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\bigg|\frac{\nabla\tilde v_\varphi^2}{r}\bigg|^2dx - \frac{\nu}{2}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\bigg(\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^4}{r^2}\bigg)_{,r}drdz - \nu\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^4}{r^4}dx\\
&= \frac{3}{4}\nu\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\bigg|\nabla\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^2}{r} + \frac{\tilde v_\varphi^2}{r^2}\nabla r\bigg|^2dx + \frac{\nu}{2}\int\limits_{-a}^{a}\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^4}{r^2}\bigg|_{r=\varepsilon}dz - \nu\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^4}{r^4}dx\\
&= \frac{3}{4}\nu\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\bigg[\bigg|\nabla\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^2}{r}\bigg|^2 + \frac{\tilde v_\varphi^4}{r^4} + 2\partial_r\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^2}{r}\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^2}{r^2}\bigg]dx - \nu\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^4}{r^4}dx\\
&\quad + \frac{\nu}{2}\int\limits_{-a}^{a}\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^4}{r^2}\bigg|_{r=\varepsilon}dz = \frac{3}{4}\nu\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\bigg|\nabla\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^2}{r}\bigg|^2dx - \frac{\nu}{4}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^4}{r^4}dx + \frac{\nu}{2}\int\limits_{-a}^{a}\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^4}{r^2}\bigg|_{r=\varepsilon}dz\\
&\quad + \frac{3}{2}\nu\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\partial_r\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^2}{r}\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^2}{r}drdz = \frac{3}{4}\nu\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\bigg|\nabla\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^2}{r}\bigg|^2dx - \frac{\nu}{4}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^4}{r^4}dx\\
&\quad - \frac{\nu}{4}\int\limits_{-a}^{a}\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^4}{r^2}\bigg|_{r=\varepsilon}dz.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the above considerations in (4.16), employing that $\tilde v_\varphi|_{r=\varepsilon} = 0$ we obtain after integration with respect to time the equality

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{4}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^4(t)}{r^2}dx + \frac{3}{4}\nu\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon^t}\bigg|\nabla\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^2}{r}\bigg|^2dxdt' + \frac{3}{4}\nu\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon^t}\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^4}{r^4}dxdt'\\
&= -\frac{3}{2}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon^t}\frac{v_r}{r}\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^4}{r^2}dxdt' + \int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon^t}v\cdot\nabla\zeta_1 v_\varphi\frac{\tilde v_\varphi|\tilde v_\varphi|^2}{r^2}dxdt'\\
&\quad - \nu\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon^t}[2\nabla v_\varphi\nabla\zeta_1 + v_\varphi\Delta\zeta_1]\frac{\tilde v_\varphi|\tilde v_\varphi|^2}{r^2}dxdt' + \int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon^t}\tilde f_\varphi\tilde v_\varphi\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^2}{r^2}dxdt'\\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^4(0)}{r^2}dx.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.17}$$

The second term on the r.h.s. of (4.17) is estimated by

$$c(1/r_0)\|rv_\varphi\|^3_{L_\infty(\Omega^t_\varepsilon)}\int\limits_{\Omega^t_\varepsilon}v^2dxdt'\le c(1/r_0)d_5^2d_6^3,$$

where d_5 appears in (2.2) and d_6 in (3.1). Similarly the third integral is bounded by

$$c(1/r_0)\|rv_\varphi\|^2_{L_\infty(\Omega^t_\varepsilon)}\int\limits_{\Omega^t_\varepsilon}(|\nabla v_\varphi|^2+|v_\varphi|^2)dxdt'\le c(1/r_0)d_5^2d_6^2.$$

Applying the Hölder and the Young inequalities to the term with $\tilde{f}_\varphi$ we estimate it by

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\limits_{\Omega^t_\varepsilon}|\tilde{f}_\varphi|\frac{|\tilde{v}_\varphi|^3}{r^2}dxdt'=\int\limits_{\Omega^t_\varepsilon}\frac{|\tilde{f}_\varphi|}{r^{1/2}}\frac{|\tilde{v}_\varphi|^3}{r^{3/2}}dxdt'\\&\le\left\|\frac{\tilde{f}_\varphi}{r^{1/2}}\right\|_{L_{20/11}(\Omega^t_\varepsilon)}\left\|\frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r}\right\|^{3/2}_{L_{\frac{10}{3}}(\Omega^t_\varepsilon)}\\&\le\frac{\varepsilon_1^{4/3}}{4/3}\left\|\frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r}\right\|^2_{L_{10/3}(\Omega^t_\varepsilon)}+\frac{1}{4\varepsilon_1^4}\left\|\frac{\tilde{f}_\varphi}{r^{1/2}}\right\|^4_{L_{20/11}(\Omega^t_\varepsilon)}.\end{aligned}$$

Employing the above estimates in (4.17) and choosing ε_1 sufficiently small we obtain (4.15). This concludes the proof.
To examine problem (4.5) it is convenient to introduce new quantities η and ϑ by the relations

$$\psi=\eta r^2,\quad\chi=\vartheta r. \tag{4.18}$$

Then problem (4.5) assumes the form

$$\Delta\eta+\frac{2\eta_{,r}}{r}=\vartheta\quad\text{in}\quad\Omega,\quad\eta|_S=0,\quad\vartheta=0\quad\text{for}\quad r\le\varepsilon. \tag{4.19}$$

Introducing the new quantities

$$\tilde{\eta}=\eta\zeta_1^2,\quad\tilde{\vartheta}=\vartheta\zeta_1^2 \tag{4.20}$$

we see that problem (4.19) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}&\Delta\tilde{\eta}+\frac{2\tilde{\eta}_{,r}}{r}=\tilde{\vartheta}+2\nabla\eta\nabla\zeta_1^2+\eta\Delta\zeta_1^2+\frac{2}{r}\zeta^2_{1,r}\eta\equiv\tilde{\vartheta}+\vartheta_1\equiv\vartheta_2,\\&\tilde{\eta}|_{S_2}=0,\quad\tilde{\eta}|_{r=2r_0}=0,\quad\vartheta_2=0\quad\text{for}\quad r\le\varepsilon.\end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

Lemma 4.3. *Assume that* $\vartheta_{,r}\in L_2(\Omega^T)$ *and* v *is a weak solution satisfying (2.2). Then*

$$\int\limits_{\Omega^t}|\nabla\tilde\eta_{,rz}|^2dxdt'+6\int\limits_{\Omega^t}\frac{\tilde\eta_{,rz}^2}{r^2}dxdt'\le\int\limits_{\Omega^t}\vartheta_{2,r}^2dxdt'+c(1/r_0)d_5^2, \tag{4.22}$$

where d_5 *is introduced in (2.2).*

Proof. Differentiating $(4.21)_1$ with respect to r and z yields

$$\Delta\tilde\eta_{,rz}-\frac{3\tilde\eta_{,rz}}{r}+2\frac{\tilde\eta_{,rrz}}{r}=\vartheta_{2,rz}. \tag{4.23}$$

Multiplying (4.23) by $\tilde\eta_{,rz}$ and integrating over Ω implies

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\limits_\Omega\Delta\tilde\eta_{,rz}\tilde\eta_{,rz}dx-3\int\limits_\Omega\frac{\tilde\eta_{,rz}^2}{r^2}dx+2\int\limits_\Omega\tilde\eta_{,rrz}\tilde\eta_{,rz}drdz\\&=\int\limits_\Omega\vartheta_{2,rz}\tilde\eta_{,rz}dx.\end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts in the first term on the l.h.s. yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\limits_{S_2}\bar n\cdot\nabla\tilde\eta_{,rz}\tilde\eta_{,rz}dS_2-\int\limits_\Omega|\nabla\tilde\eta_{,rz}|^2dx-3\int\limits_\Omega\frac{\tilde\eta_{,rz}^2}{r^2}dx\\&\quad+\int\limits_\Omega(\tilde\eta_{,rz}^2)_{,r}drdz=\int\limits_\Omega(\vartheta_{2,r}\tilde\eta_{,rz})_{,z}dx-\int\limits_\Omega\vartheta_{2,r}\tilde\eta_{,rzz}dx.\end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

The first integral on the l.h.s. of (4.24) equals

$$I_1\equiv\int\limits_{S_2}\tilde\eta_{,rzz}\tilde\eta_{,rz}dS_2.$$

Expressing (4.21) in the cylindrical coordinates yields

$$\tilde\eta_{,rr}+\tilde\eta_{,zz}+3\frac{\tilde\eta_{,r}}{r}=\tilde\vartheta+\vartheta_1. \tag{4.25}$$

In view of (4.21) we have that $\tilde\eta|_{S_2}=0$ also $\tilde\eta_{,r}|_{S_2}=0$ and $\tilde\eta_{,rr}|_{S_2}=0$. Therefore $\vartheta_1|_{S_2}=0$.

Projecting (4.25) on S_2 and using that $\tilde\vartheta|_{S_2}=0$ and $\tilde\vartheta_1|_{S_2}=0$ we have that $\tilde\eta_{,zz}|_{S_2}=0$ so also $\tilde\eta_{,zzr}|_{S_2}=0$. Therefore, $I_1=0$.

Since $\vartheta_2|_{S_2} = 0$ so also $\vartheta_{2,r}|_{S_2} = 0$. Then the first term on the r.h.s. of (4.24) vanishes. Hence (4.24) takes the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int\limits_\Omega |\nabla\tilde\eta_{,rz}|^2dx + 3\int\limits_\Omega \frac{\tilde\eta^2_{,rz}}{r^2}dx + \int\limits_{-a}^{a} \tilde\eta^2_{,rz}|_{r=0}dz \\
&= \int\limits_\Omega \vartheta_{2,r}\tilde\eta_{,rzz}dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.26}
$$

Applying the Cauchy inequality to the r.h.s. of (4.26) we estimate it by

$$
\frac{1}{2}\int\limits_\Omega \tilde\eta^2_{,rzz}dx + \frac{1}{2}\int\limits_\Omega \vartheta^2_{2,r}dx.
$$

Using this in (4.26) implies

$$
\int\limits_\Omega |\nabla\tilde\eta_{,rz}|^2dx + 6\int\limits_\Omega \frac{\tilde\eta^2_{,rz}}{r^2}dx \le \int\limits_\Omega \vartheta^2_{2,r}dx. \tag{4.27}
$$

To estimate the r.h.s. of (4.27) we examine

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int\limits_\Omega \vartheta^2_{1,r}dx \le c(1/r_0)\int\limits_{\Omega_{,\zeta_{1,r}}} (\eta^2_{,rr} + \eta^2_{,r} + \eta^2)dx \\
&\le c(1/r_0)\int\limits_{\Omega_{,\zeta_{1,r}}} (\psi^2_{,rr} + \psi^2_{,r} + \psi^2)dx \le c(1/r_0)\int\limits_\Omega (v^2_{z,r} + v^2_z)dx,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the equality $\psi(r,z) = \int_R^r \psi_{,r'}(r',z)dr'$. Therefore, (4.27) takes the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int\limits_\Omega |\nabla\tilde\eta_{,rz}|^2dx + 6\int\limits_\Omega \frac{\tilde\eta^2_{,rz}}{r^2}dx \le \int\limits_\Omega \vartheta^2_{2,r}dx \\
&\quad + c(1/r_0)\int\limits_\Omega (v^2_{z,r} + v^2_z)dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.28}
$$

Integrating (4.28) with respect to time and using (2.2) yield (4.22). This concludes the proof.

Corollary 4.4. *Since* $\tilde\eta_{,z} = \frac{\tilde\psi_{,z}}{r^2} = \frac{\tilde v_r}{r}$, $\tilde\eta_{,zr} = \left(\frac{\tilde v_r}{r}\right)_{,r}$ *and* $\tilde\vartheta = \frac{\tilde\chi}{r}$ *then (4.22) takes the form*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int\limits_{\Omega^t} \left|\nabla\left(\frac{\tilde v_r}{r}\right)_{,r}\right|^2 dxdt' + 6\int\limits_{\Omega^t} \frac{1}{r^2}\left(\frac{\tilde v_r}{r}\right)^2_{,r} dxdt' \\
&\le \int\limits_{\Omega^t_\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\tilde\chi}{r}\right)^2_{,r} dxdt' + c(1/r_0)d_5^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.29}
$$

Lemma 4.5. *Assume that* $\frac{\tilde\chi(0)}{r}\in L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)$, $\frac{\tilde F}{r}\in L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon^T)$, $\frac{\tilde f_\varphi}{\sqrt r}\in L_{20/11}(\Omega_\varepsilon^T)$, $\frac{\tilde v_\varphi(0)}{\sqrt r}\in L_4(\Omega_\varepsilon)$. *Assume that (2.2) and (3.1) hold, where* d_5 *and* d_6 *are introduced. Assume that*

$$\|u\|_{L_\infty(\Omega_\zeta^T)}\le\sqrt[4]{\frac{5}{8}}\nu, \tag{4.30}$$

which can be satisfied because u *vanishes on the axis of symmetry, it is the Hölder continuous and* $\operatorname{supp}\zeta$ *is sufficiently small. Then the following a priori inequality holds*

$$\left\|\frac{\tilde\chi}{r}\right\|^2_{V_2^0(\Omega_\varepsilon^t)}\le c(1/r_0)d_5\|\chi\|^2_{L_{20/7}(\Omega^t_{\varepsilon,\zeta_{1,r}})}+cA^2,\quad t\le T, \tag{4.31}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}A^2&=c(1/r_0)d_5^2[1+(1+d_6)d_6^2]+\left\|\frac{\tilde\chi(0)}{r}\right\|^2_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}+\left\|\frac{\tilde F}{r}\right\|^2_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon^t)}\\&\quad+\left\|\frac{\tilde f_\varphi}{\sqrt r}\right\|^4_{L_{20/11}(\Omega_\varepsilon^t)}+\left\|\frac{\tilde v_\varphi(0)}{\sqrt r}\right\|^4_{L_4(\Omega_\varepsilon)}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. From (4.10) and (4.29) we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon^t}\left|\nabla\left(\frac{\tilde v_r}{r}\right)_{,r}\right|^2dxdt'+6\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon^t}\frac{1}{r^2}\left(\frac{\tilde v_r}{r}\right)^2_{,r}dxdt'\\&\le\frac{2}{\nu^2}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon^t}\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^4}{r^4}dxdt'+c(1/r_0)d_5\|\chi\|_{L_{20/7}(\Omega^t_{\varepsilon,\zeta_{1,r}})}+cA_1^2,\end{aligned} \tag{4.32}$$

where

$$A_1^2=c(1/r_0)d_5^2+\left\|\frac{\tilde\chi(0)}{r}\right\|^2_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}+\left\|\frac{\tilde F}{r}\right\|^2_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon^t)}.$$

To estimate the first term on the r.h.s. of (4.32) we use (4.15) in the form

$$\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon^t}\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^4}{r^4}dxdt'\le\frac{2}{\nu}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon^t}\frac{|v_r|}{r}\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^4}{r^2}dxdt'+cA_2^2, \tag{4.34}$$

where

$$A_2^2=c(1/r_0)d_5^2(1+d_6)d_6^2+\left\|\frac{\tilde f_\varphi}{\sqrt r}\right\|^4_{L_{20/11}(\Omega_\varepsilon^t)}+\left\|\frac{\tilde v_\varphi(0)}{\sqrt r}\right\|^4_{L_4(\Omega_\varepsilon)}. \tag{4.35}$$

Now we examine the first term on the r.h.s. of (4.34) in the following way

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{2}{\nu}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon^t}\frac{|\tilde v_r|}{r^3}r^2v_\varphi^2\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^2}{r^2}dxdt'=\frac{2}{\nu}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon^t}\frac{|\tilde v_r|^2}{r^3}u^2\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^2}{r^2}dxdt'\\&\le\frac{2}{\nu}\bigg[\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon^t}\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^4}{r^4}dxdt'+\frac{1}{2\varepsilon}\|u\|^4_{L_\infty(\Omega_\zeta^t)}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon^t}\frac{\tilde v_r^2}{r^6}dxdt'\bigg].\end{aligned}$$

Setting $\varepsilon=\frac{\nu}{2}$ in the above inequality we obtain from (4.34) the inequality

$$\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon^t}\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^4}{r^4}dxdt'\le\frac{4}{\nu^2}\|u\|^4_{L_\infty(\Omega_\zeta^t)}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon^t}\frac{\tilde v_r^2}{r^6}dxdt'+cA_2^2. \tag{4.36}$$

Using (4.36) in (4.32) and applying the Hardy inequality

$$\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{1}{r^4}\left|\frac{\tilde v_r}{r}\right|^2dx\le\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{1}{r^2}\left(\frac{\tilde v_r}{r}\right)^2_{,r}dx \tag{4.37}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&6\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon^t}\frac{1}{r^2}\left(\frac{\tilde v_r}{r}\right)^2_{,r}dxdt'\le\frac{8}{\nu^4}\|u\|^4_{L_\infty(\Omega_\zeta^t)}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon^t}\frac{1}{r^2}\left(\frac{\tilde v_r}{r}\right)^2_{,r}dx\\&\quad+c(1/r_0)d_5\|\chi\|^2_{L_{20/7}(\Omega^t_{\varepsilon,\zeta_{1,r}})}+c(A_1^2+A_2^2).\end{aligned} \tag{4.38}$$

Assuming that

$$6-\frac{8}{\nu^4}\|u\|^4_{L_\infty(\Omega_\zeta^t)}\ge1,$$

which can be expressed in the form

$$\|u\|_{L_\infty(\Omega_\zeta^t)}\le\sqrt[4]{\frac{5}{8}}\nu, \tag{4.39}$$

we obtain from (4.38) the inequality

$$\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon^t}\frac{1}{r^2}\left(\frac{\tilde v_r}{r}\right)^2_{,r}dxdt'\le c(1/r_0)d_5\|\chi\|^2_{L_{20/7}(\Omega^t_{\varepsilon,\zeta_{1,r}})}+c(A_1^2+A_2^2). \tag{4.40}$$

Employing (4.39) and (4.40) in (4.36) implies

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon^t}\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^4}{r^4}dxdt'\le\frac{4}{\nu^2}\frac{5}{8}\nu^4[c(1/r_0)d_5\|\chi\|^2_{L_{20/7}(\Omega^t_{\varepsilon,\zeta_{1,r}})}\\&\quad+c(A_1^2+A_2^2)]+cA_2^2\\&\le c(1/r_0)d_5\|\chi\|^2_{L_{20/7}(\Omega^t_{\varepsilon,\zeta_{1,r}})}+c(A_1^2+A_2^2).\end{aligned} \tag{4.41}$$

In view of (4.41) inequality (4.10) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|\frac{\tilde\chi}{r}\right\|^2_{L_\infty(0,T;L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon))}+\left\|\nabla\frac{\tilde\chi}{r}\right\|^2_{L_2(\Omega^t_\varepsilon)}\\&\le c(1/r_0)d_5\|\chi\|^2_{L_{20/7}(\Omega^t_{\varepsilon,\zeta_{1,r}})}+c(A_1^2+A_2^2).\end{aligned}\tag{4.42}$$

This concludes the proof.

Lemma 4.6. *Let the assumptions of Lemma 4.5 hold. Then*

$$\left\|\frac{\chi}{r}\right\|_{L_{20/7}(\Omega^t_\varepsilon)}\le cA,\tag{4.43}$$

where A is introduced in Lemma 4.5.

Proof. From (4.31) we have

$$\left\|\frac{\tilde\chi}{r}\right\|_{L_{10/3}(\Omega^t_\varepsilon)}\le c_1\left\|\frac{\chi}{r}\right\|_{L_{10/3}(\Omega^t_{\varepsilon,\zeta_{1,r}})}+cA.\tag{4.44}$$

Let us introduce the sets

$$\Omega_\varepsilon^{(\lambda)}=\{(r,z)\in\Omega_\varepsilon:\ 0<\varepsilon<r\le r_0-\lambda,\ |z|<a\}$$

and connect with them a set of cut-off functions such that

$$\zeta^{(\lambda)}=\begin{cases}1 & \text{for } (r,z)\in\Omega_\varepsilon^{(\lambda)}\\ 0 & \text{for } (r,z)\in\Omega_\varepsilon\setminus\Omega_\varepsilon^{(\lambda/2)}\end{cases}$$

Let $\vartheta=\frac{\chi}{r}$. Then (4.44) can be expressed in the form

$$\|\vartheta\|_{L_{10/3}(\Omega_\varepsilon^{(\lambda)}\times(0,t))}\le c_1\|\vartheta\|_{L_{10/3}(\Omega_\varepsilon^{(\lambda/2)}\setminus\Omega_\varepsilon^{(\lambda)}\times(0,t))}+cA.\tag{4.45}$$

From (4.45) we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon^{(\lambda)}\times(0,t)}|\vartheta|^{10/3}dxdt'\\&\le c_1c_1^{10/3}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon^{(\lambda/2)}\setminus\Omega_\varepsilon^{(\lambda)}\times(0,t)}|\vartheta|^{10/3}dxdt'+c_2c^{10/3}A^{10/3},\end{aligned}\tag{4.46}$$

where $c_2 = 2^{10/3-1}$. Adding

$$c_2 c_1^{10/3} \int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon^{(\lambda)}\times(0,t)} |\vartheta|^{10/3} dx dt'$$

to both sides of (4.46) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon^{(\lambda)}\times(0,t)} |\vartheta|^{10/3} dx dt' \\ &\leq \frac{c_2 c_1^{10/3}}{1+c_2 c_1^{10/3}} \int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon^{(\lambda/2)}\times(0,t)} |\vartheta|^{10/3} dx dt' + \frac{c_2 c^{10/3}}{1+c_2 c_1^{10/3}} A^{10/3}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.47}$$

Introducing the notation

$$f(\lambda) = \int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon^{(\lambda)}\times(0,t)} |\vartheta|^{10/3} dx dt', \quad \mu = \frac{c_2 c_1^{10/3}}{1+c_2 c_1^{10/3}} < 1$$

$$K = \frac{c_2 c^{10/3}}{1+c_2 c_1^{10/3}} A,$$

we obtain from (4.47) the inequality

$$f(\lambda) \leq \mu f(\lambda/2) + K,$$

which implies the estimate

$$f(\lambda) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mu^j K = \frac{1}{1-\mu} K.$$

Therefore, Lemma 4.6 is proved.

5. Estimate for χ in a neighborhood located in a positive distance from the axis of symmetry

Let $\zeta_2 = \zeta_2(r)$ be a smooth cut-off function such that $\zeta_2(r) = 0$ for $r \leq r_0$ and $\zeta_2(r) = 1$ for $r \geq 2r_0$. Let $\{\zeta_1(r), \zeta_2(r)\}$ compose a partition of unity in the radial direction. Let us introduce the notation

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{\chi} = \chi\zeta_2^2, \quad \bar{v}_\varphi = v_\varphi\zeta_2, \quad \bar{v}' = v'\zeta_2^2, \quad \bar{F} = F\zeta_2^2, \quad \bar{f}' = f'\zeta_2^2, \\ &\bar{f}_\varphi = f_\varphi\zeta_2. \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

In view of (4.6) function $\bar\chi$ is a solution to the problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\bar\chi_{,t} + v\cdot\nabla\bar\chi - \frac{v_r}{r}\bar\chi - \nu\bigg[\bigg(r\bigg(\frac{\bar\chi}{r}\bigg)_{,r}\bigg)_{,r} + \bar\chi_{,zz} + 2\bigg(\frac{\bar\chi}{r}\bigg)_{,r}\bigg]\\
&\quad = v\cdot\nabla\zeta_2^2\chi - \nu\bigg[(\chi\zeta_{2,r}^2)_{,r} + r\bigg(\frac{\chi}{r}\bigg)_{,r}\zeta_{2,r}^2 + 2\bigg(\frac{\chi}{r}\zeta_{2,r}^2\bigg)\bigg]\\
&\qquad + \frac{2\bar v_\varphi\bar v_{\varphi,z}}{r} + \bar F \qquad\qquad \text{in } \ \Omega^T,\\
&\bar\chi|_{r=r_0} = 0, \quad \bar\chi|_{S_1\cup S_2} = 0,\\
&\bar\chi|_{t=0} = \bar\chi_0 \qquad\qquad \text{in } \ \Omega.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.2}
$$

Lemma 5.1. *Assume that v is a weak solution to problem (1.1) satisfying assumptions of Lemma 2.1. Let the assumptions of Lemma 3.1 hold. Let d_1, d_5, d_7, d_6 be constants introduced by (2.1), (2.2), (2.15), (3.1), respectively. Let $\bar F\in L_2(0,T;L_{6/5}(\Omega))$, $\bar\chi(0)\in L_2(\Omega)$. Then solutions to (5.2) satisfy the estimate*

$$
\bigg\|\frac{\bar\chi}{r}\bigg\|_{V_2^0(\Omega^t)} \le c[c(1/r_0)d_1^2+1]A_0, \quad t\le T,
\tag{5.3}
$$

where

$$
A_0^2 = c(1/r_0)(d_5^2 + d_6^2d_7^2) + \|\bar F\|^2_{L_2(0,T;L_{6/5}(\Omega))} + \frac{1}{r_0^2}\|\bar\chi(0)\|^2_{L_2(\Omega)}
\tag{5.4}
$$

and r_0 is introduced by the definition of the cut-off function $\zeta_2(r)$.

Proof. Multiplying $(5.2)_1$ by $\frac{\bar\chi}{r^2}$, integrating over Ω and using the boundary conditions yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega\frac{\bar\chi^2}{r^2}dx + \nu\int_\Omega\bigg|\nabla\frac{\bar\chi}{r}\bigg|^2dx = \int_\Omega v\cdot\nabla\zeta_2^2\chi\frac{\bar\chi}{r^2}dx\\
&\quad -\nu\int_\Omega\bigg[(\chi\zeta_{2,r}^2)_{,r} + r\bigg(\frac{\chi}{r}\bigg)_{,r}\zeta_{2,r}^2 + 2\frac{\chi}{r}\zeta_{2,r}^2\bigg]\frac{\bar\chi}{r^2}dx\\
&\quad + 2\int_\Omega\frac{\bar v_\varphi\bar v_{\varphi,z}}{r^2}\frac{\bar\chi}{r}dx + \int_\Omega\frac{\bar F}{r}\frac{\bar\chi}{r}dx.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.5}
$$

Now we estimate the terms from the r.h.s. of (5.5). We estimate the first term by

$$
\varepsilon_1\bigg\|\frac{\bar\chi}{r}\bigg\|^2_{L_6(\Omega)} + c(1/r_0,1/\varepsilon_1)\|v\chi\|^2_{L_{6/5}(\Omega_{\zeta_{2,r}})}.
$$

The first term under the square bracket of the second term yields

$$\int_\Omega \chi_{,r}\zeta_{2,r}^2\zeta_2^2\frac{\chi}{r}drdz+\int_\Omega \chi^2\frac{1}{r^2}(\zeta_{2,r}^2)_{,r}\zeta_2^2dx\equiv I_1,$$

where the first integral in I_1 equals

$$-\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega \chi^2\bigg(\frac{1}{r}\zeta_{2,r}^2\zeta_2^2\bigg)drdz.$$

Hence

$$|I_1|\le c(1/r_0)\int_{\Omega_{\zeta_{2,r}}}\chi^2dx.$$

Similarly, the integral with the second term under the square bracket implies

$$\int_\Omega\bigg(\frac{\chi}{r}\bigg)_{,r}\frac{\chi}{r}\zeta_{2,r}^2\zeta_2^2rdrdz=-\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega\frac{\chi^2}{r^2}(\zeta_{2,r}^2\zeta_2^2r)_{,r}drdz\equiv I_2.$$

Then

$$|I_2|\le c(1/r_0)\int_{\Omega_{\zeta_{2,r}}}\chi^2dx.$$

Finally, the integral with the last term under the square bracket is bounded by

$$c(1/r_0)\int_{\Omega_{\zeta_{2,r}}}\chi^2dx.$$

Summarizing, the second term on the r.h.s. of (5.5) is bounded by

$$c(1/r_0)\int_{\Omega_{\zeta_{2,r}}}\chi^2dx.$$

The third term on the r.h.s. of (5.5) yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\bigg|\int_\Omega\frac{\bar v_\varphi^2}{r^2}\bigg(\frac{\bar\chi}{r}\bigg)_{,z}dx\bigg|\le\varepsilon_2\int_\Omega\bigg(\frac{\bar\chi}{r}\bigg)_{,z}^2dx+c(1/\varepsilon_2)\int_{\Omega_{\zeta_2}}\frac{\bar v_\varphi^4}{r^4}dx\\&\le\varepsilon_2\int_\Omega\bigg(\frac{\bar\chi}{r}\bigg)_{,z}^2dx+c(1/\varepsilon_2,1/r_0)\int_\Omega v_\varphi^4dx.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, the last term on the r.h.s. of (5.5) is bounded by

$$\varepsilon_3\bigg\|\frac{\bar\chi}{r}\bigg\|^2_{L_6(\Omega)}+c(1/\varepsilon_3)\bigg\|\frac{\bar F}{r}\bigg\|^2_{L_{6/5}(\Omega)}.$$

Employing the above estimates in (5.5) and choosing $\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_3$ sufficiently small yield

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_\Omega\bigg|\frac{\bar\chi}{r}\bigg|^2dx+\nu\int\limits_\Omega\bigg|\nabla\frac{\bar\chi}{r}\bigg|^2dx&\le c(1/r_0)\|v\|^2_{L_2(\Omega)}\|\chi\|^2_{L_3(\Omega_{\zeta_{2,r}})}\\&+c(1/r_0)\|\chi\|^2_{L_2(\Omega_{\zeta_{2,r}})}+c(1/r_0)\int\limits_\Omega v_\varphi^4dx+c\bigg\|\frac{\bar F}{r}\bigg\|^2_{L_{6/5}(\Omega)}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.6}$$

Integrating (5.6) with respect to time and exploiting estimates (2.1) and (3.6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\bigg\|\frac{\bar\chi}{r}\bigg\|^2_{L_\infty(0,t;L_2(\Omega))}+\nu\bigg\|\nabla\frac{\bar\chi}{r}\bigg\|^2_{L_2(\Omega^t)}\\&\le c(1/r_0)d_1^2\|\chi\|^2_{L_2(0,t;L_3(\Omega_{\zeta_{2,r}}))}+c(1/r_0)(d_5^2+d_6^2d_7^2)\\&\quad+c\bigg\|\frac{\bar F}{r}\bigg\|^2_{L_2(0,t;L_{6/5}(\Omega))}+\bigg\|\frac{\bar\chi(0)}{r}\bigg\|^2_{L_2(\Omega)},\end{aligned}\tag{5.7}$$

where $t\le T$, d_1 is introduced in (2.1), d_5 in (2.2), d_6 in (3.1) and d_7 in (2.15). To estimate the first term on the r.h.s. of (5.7) by data we express (5.7) in the form

$$\|\bar\chi\|_{L_3(\Omega^t)}\le c_1\|\chi\|_{L_3(\Omega^t_{\zeta_{2,r}})}+A_0,\tag{5.8}$$

where $c_1=c(1/r_0)d_1$ and A_0 is defined by (5.4).
To apply the local considerations (see [LSU, Ch. 4, Sect. 10]) we introduce the sets $\Omega^{(\lambda)}=\{(r,z)\in\Omega:\ \ r\ge r_0'+\lambda\}$ and corresponding cut-off functions $\zeta^{(\lambda)}(x)$ such that $\zeta^{(\lambda)}(x)=1$ for $x\in\Omega^{(\lambda)}$ and $\zeta^{(\lambda)}(x)=0$ for $x\in\Omega\setminus\Omega^{(\lambda/2)}$, so $|\nabla\zeta^{(\lambda)}|\le\frac{c}{\lambda}$. Moreover, we assume that $r_0'+\lambda=2r_0$.

Then (5.8) can be expressed in the form

$$\|\chi\|_{L_3(\Omega^{(\lambda)}\times(0,t))}\le c_1\|\chi\|_{L_3(\Omega^{(\lambda/2)}\setminus\Omega^{(\lambda)}\times(0,t))}+A_0.\tag{5.9}$$

Hence

$$\|\chi\|^3_{L_3(\Omega^{(\lambda)}\times(0,t))}\le 4c_1^3\|\chi\|^3_{L_3(\Omega^{(\lambda/2)}\setminus\Omega^{(\lambda)}\times(0,t))}+4A_0^3.\tag{5.10}$$

By the filling-hole argument we have

$$(5.11)\qquad \int\limits_{\Omega^{(\lambda)}\times(0,t)}|\chi|^3dxdt'\le\frac{4c_1^3}{4c_1^3+1}\int\limits_{\Omega^{(\lambda/2)}\times(0,t)}|\chi|^3dxdt'+\frac{4}{4c_1^3+1}A_0^3.$$

Introducing the notation

$$f(\lambda)=\int\limits_{\Omega^{(\lambda)}\times(0,t)}|\chi|^3dxdt',\quad \mu=\frac{4c_1^3}{4c_1^3+1}<1,\quad K=\frac{4}{4c_1^3+1}A_0^3$$

we obtain from (5.11) the inequality

$$f(\lambda)\le\mu f(\lambda/2)+K$$

which implies the estimate

$$f(\lambda)\le\sum_{j=0}^\infty\mu^jK=\frac{1}{1-\mu}K.$$

Employing the estimate in (5.7) we get (5.3). This concludes the proof.

6. Estimate for v'

From Lemmas 4.5 and 4.6 we have

$$(6.1)\qquad \left\|\frac{\tilde\chi}{r}\right\|_{V_2^0(\Omega_\varepsilon^t)}\le cA,\quad t\le T,$$

where

$$(6.2)\qquad \begin{aligned}A^2&=c(1/r_0)d_5^2[1+(1+d_6)d_6^2]+\left\|\frac{\tilde\chi(0)}{r}\right\|_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}^2\\&\quad+\left\|\frac{\tilde F}{r}\right\|_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon^t)}^2+\left\|\frac{\tilde f_\varphi}{\sqrt r}\right\|_{L_{20/11}(\Omega_\varepsilon^t)}^4+\left\|\frac{\tilde v_\varphi(0)}{\sqrt r}\right\|_{L_4(\Omega_\varphi)}^4,\quad t\le T.\end{aligned}$$

Next, Lemma 5.1 implies

$$(6.3)\qquad \left\|\frac{\bar\chi}{r}\right\|_{V_2^0(\Omega^t)}\le c[c(1/r_0)d_1^2+1]A_0,\quad t\le T,$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_0^2 &= c(1/r_0)(d_5^2 + d_6^2 d_7^2) + \|\bar{F}\|^2_{L_2(0,t;L_{6/5}(\Omega))} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{r_0^2}\|\bar{\chi}(0)\|^2_{L_2(\Omega)}, \quad t \le T.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.4}
$$

Inequalities (6.1) and (6.3) imply

$$
\left\|\frac{\chi}{r}\right\|_{V_2^0(\Omega_\varepsilon^t)} \le cA + c[c(1/r_0)d_1^2 + 1]A_0 \equiv cA_*, \quad t \le T. \tag{6.5}
$$

Let us consider problem (4.5). It is convenient to introduce new quantities η and ϑ by the relations

$$
\psi = \eta r^2, \quad \chi = \vartheta r. \tag{6.6}
$$

Then problem (4.5) assumes the form

$$
\Delta\eta + \frac{2\eta_{,r}}{r} = \vartheta \quad \text{in} \ \ \Omega, \quad \eta|_S = 0, \quad \vartheta = 0 \quad \text{for} \ \ r \le \varepsilon. \tag{6.7}
$$

Since (6.5) holds we have

Lemma 6.1. *Assume that $\vartheta \in H^1(\Omega)$. Then for a sufficiently smooth solution of (6.7) we have*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega (\eta^2 + |\nabla\eta|^2 + |\nabla\eta_{,r}|^2 + |\nabla\eta_{,z}|^2 + |\nabla\eta_{,zr}|^2 + |\nabla\eta_{,zz}|^2)dx \\
&\quad + \int_\Omega \left(\frac{\eta_{,r}^2}{r^2} + \frac{\eta_{,zr}^2}{r^2}\right)dx + \int_{-a}^{a} (\eta_{,r}^2|_{r=R} + \eta_{,rz}^2|_{r=R})dz \\
&\quad + \int_{-a}^{a} (\eta^2|_{r=0} + \eta_{,r}^2|_{r=0} + \eta_{,z}^2|_{r=0} + \eta_{,rz}^2|_{r=0} + \eta_{,zz}^2|_{r=0})dz \\
&\le c\int_\Omega (\vartheta^2 + \vartheta_{,r}^2 + \vartheta_{,z}^2)dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.8}
$$

Proof. Multiplying $(6.7)_1$ by η, integrating over Ω and using the boundary conditions yields

$$
-\int_\Omega |\nabla\eta|^2 dx + 2\int_\Omega \frac{\eta_{,r}\eta}{r} r dr dz = \int_\Omega \eta\vartheta dx.
$$

Applying the Cauchy and the Young inequalities to the r.h.s. of the above equality gives

$$\int\limits_\Omega |\nabla\eta|^2dx + \int\limits_{-a}^{a} \eta^2|_{r=0}dz \le \varepsilon \int\limits_\Omega \eta^2dx + c(1/\varepsilon)\int\limits_\Omega \vartheta^2dx.$$

In view of sufficiently small ε and the Poincare inequality we get the estimate

$$\int\limits_\Omega (\eta^2+|\nabla\eta|^2)dx + \int\limits_{-a}^{a} \eta^2|_{r=0}dz \le c\int\limits_\Omega \vartheta^2dx. \tag{6.9}$$

Differentiating $(6.7)_1$ with respect to r, multiplying the result by $\eta_{,r}$ and integrating over Ω yields

$$\int\limits_\Omega \Delta\eta_{,r}\eta_{,r}dx - 3\int\limits_\Omega \frac{\eta_{,r}^2}{r^2}dx + 2\int\limits_\Omega \frac{\eta_{,rr}\eta_{,r}}{r}dx = \int\limits_\Omega \vartheta_{,r}\eta_{,r}dx, \tag{6.10}$$

where we used that $(6.7)_1$ takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} &\eta_{,rr}+\eta_{,zz}+3\frac{\eta_{,r}}{r}=\vartheta, \quad \text{so} \quad \eta_{,rrr}+\eta_{,rzz}+3\frac{\eta_{,rr}}{r}-3\frac{\eta_{,r}}{r^2}=\vartheta_{,r}\\ &\text{and} \quad \Delta\eta_{,r}+2\frac{\eta_{,rr}}{r}-3\frac{\eta_{,r}}{r^2}=\vartheta_{,r}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.11}$$

Integrating by parts in (6.10) and using that $\eta_{,r}|_{S_2}=0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int\limits_{S_1} \bar n\cdot\nabla\eta_{,r}\eta_{,r}dS_1 - \int\limits_\Omega |\nabla\eta_{,r}|^2dx - 3\int\limits_\Omega \frac{\eta_{,r}^2}{r^2}dx + \int\limits_\Omega (\eta_{,r}^2)_{,r}drdz\\ &= \int\limits_\Omega \vartheta_{,r}\eta_{,r}dx. \end{aligned} \tag{6.12}$$

In view of (6.11) we have

$$\bar n\cdot\nabla\eta_{,r}|_{S_1} = \eta_{,rr}|_{S_1} = -3\frac{\eta_{,r}}{r}|_{S_1}.$$

Moreover, integrating by parts in the r.h.s. of (6.12) gives

$$\int\limits_\Omega (\vartheta\eta_{,r}r)_{,r}drdz - \int\limits_\Omega \vartheta(\eta_{,rr}r+\eta_{,r})drdz,$$

where the first integral vanishes because $\vartheta|_{r=R}=0$ and $\vartheta|_{r=0}=0$.

Therefore, (6.12) takes the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int\limits_\Omega |\nabla\eta_{,r}|^2dx+3\int\limits_\Omega \frac{\eta_{,r}^2}{r^2}dx+3\int\limits_{-a}^a \eta_{,r}^2|_{r=R}dz-\int\limits_{-a}^a \eta_{,r}^2|_{r=R}dz\\
&\quad+\int\limits_{-a}^a \eta_{,r}^2|_{r=0}dz\le\varepsilon\biggl(\int\limits_\Omega \eta_{,rr}^2dx+\int\limits_\Omega \frac{\eta_{,r}^2}{r^2}dx\biggr)+c(1/\varepsilon)\int\limits_\Omega \vartheta^2dx
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.13}
$$

For sufficiently small ε, (6.13) implies the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\int\limits_\Omega |\nabla\eta_{,r}|^2dx+\frac{5}{2}\int\limits_\Omega \frac{\eta_{,r}^2}{r^2}dx+2\int\limits_{-a}^a \eta_{,r}^2|_{r=R}dz+\int\limits_{-a}^a \eta_{,r}^2|_{r=0}dz\\
&\le c\int\limits_\Omega \vartheta^2dx.
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.14}
$$

Differentiating $(6.7)_1$ with respect to z, multiplying the result by $\eta_{,z}$ and integrating over Ω gives

$$
\int\limits_\Omega \Delta\eta_{,z}\eta_{,z}dx+2\int\limits_\Omega \eta_{,rz}\eta_{,z}drdz=\int\limits_\Omega \vartheta_{,z}\eta_{,z}dx.
$$

Integrating by parts and using that $\eta_{,zz}|_{S_2}=0$, $\eta_{,z}|_{S_1}=0$, $\vartheta|_{S_2}=0$ we obtain

$$
\int\limits_\Omega |\nabla\eta_{,z}|^2dx-\int\limits_\Omega (\eta_{,z}^2)_{,r}drdz=\int\limits_\Omega \vartheta\eta_{,zz}dx.
$$

Continuing, we have

$$
\int\limits_\Omega |\nabla\eta_{,z}|^2dx+\int\limits_{-a}^a \eta_{,z}^2|_{r=0}dz\le\varepsilon\int\limits_\Omega \eta_{,zz}^2dx+c(1/\varepsilon)\int\limits_\Omega \vartheta^2dx.
$$

Hence, for sufficiently small ε, we obtain

$$
\int\limits_\Omega |\nabla\eta_{,z}|^2dx+\int\limits_{-a}^a \eta_{,z}^2|_{r=0}dz\le c\int\limits_\Omega \vartheta^2dx.
\tag{6.15}
$$

Differentiating $(6.7)_1$ with respect to r and z yields

$$
\Delta\eta_{,rz}-\frac{3\eta_{,zr}}{r}+2\frac{\eta_{,zrr}}{r}=\vartheta_{,zr}.
\tag{6.16}
$$

Multiplying (6.16) by $\eta_{,rz}$ and integrating over Ω implies

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega \Delta\eta_{,rz}\eta_{,rz}dx - 3\int_\Omega \frac{\eta^2_{,rz}}{r^2}dx + 2\int_\Omega \eta_{,zrr}\eta_{,zr}drdz \\ = \int_\Omega \vartheta_{,zr}\eta_{,zr}dx.\end{aligned}\tag{6.17}$$

Integrating by parts in the first integral on the l.h.s. yields

$$\begin{aligned}\int_S \bar{n}\cdot\nabla\eta_{,rz}\eta_{,rz}dS - \int_\Omega |\nabla\eta_{,rz}|^2dx - 3\int_\Omega \frac{\eta^2_{,zr}}{r^2}dx \\ + \int_\Omega (\eta^2_{,zr})_{,r}drdz = \int_\Omega (\vartheta_{,r}\eta_{,rz})_{,z}dx - \int_\Omega \vartheta_{,r}\eta_{,rzz}dx.\end{aligned}\tag{6.18}$$

The first integral on the r.h.s. vanishes because $\vartheta_{,r}|_{S_2} = 0$ and the first integral on the l.h.s. equals

$$\int_{S_1} \eta_{,zrr}\eta_{,zr}dS_1 + \int_{S_2} \eta_{,zrz}\eta_{,zr}dS_2 \equiv I_1.$$

Since $\eta_{,rr} = -\frac{3\eta_{,r}}{r}$ on S_1, so $\eta_{,rrz} = -\frac{3\eta_{,rz}}{r}$ on S_1 also. Therefore, the first integral in I_1 takes the form

$$-3\int_{S_1} \frac{\eta^2_{,rz}}{r}rdz = -3\int_{-a}^{a} \eta^2_{,rz}|_{r=R}dz.$$

Projecting (6.11) on S_2 gives $\eta_{,zz}|_{S_2} = 0$, so also $\eta_{,zzr}|_{S_2} = 0$. Therefore, the second term in I_1 vanishes.

In view of the above considerations, (6.18) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega |\nabla\eta_{,zr}|^2dx + 3\int_{-a}^{a} \eta^2_{,rz}|_{r=R}dz + 3\int_\Omega \frac{\eta^2_{,zr}}{r^2}dx \\ - \int_\Omega (\eta^2_{,zr})_{,r}drdz = \int_\Omega \vartheta_{,r}\eta_{,zzr}dx.\end{aligned}\tag{6.19}$$

Performing integration by parts in the last term on the l.h.s. and applying the Cauchy and the Young inequalities to the r.h.s. we derive

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega |\nabla\eta_{,zr}|^2dx + 6\int_\Omega \frac{\eta^2_{,zr}}{r^2}dx + 4\int_{-a}^{a} \eta^2_{,rz}|_{r=R}dz \\ + 2\int_{-a}^{a} \eta^2_{,rz}|_{r=0}dz \le \int_\Omega \vartheta^2_{,r}dx.\end{aligned}\tag{6.20}$$

Differentiating $(6.7)_1$ twice with respect to z, multiplying the result by $\eta_{,zz}$ and integrating over Ω we arrive to

$$\int\limits_\Omega \Delta\eta_{,zz}\eta_{,zz}dx + 2\int\limits_\Omega \eta_{,zzr}\eta_{,zz}dx = \int\limits_\Omega \vartheta_{,zz}\eta_{,zz}dx.$$

Since $\eta_{,zz}$ vanishes on $S_1 \cup S_2$ the above equality takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}\int\limits_\Omega |\nabla\eta|_{,zz}|^2dx + \int\limits_{-a}^{a} \eta^2_{,zz}|_{r=0}dz = -\int\limits_\Omega (\vartheta_{,z}\eta_{,zz})_{,z}dx\\ + \int\limits_\Omega \vartheta_{,z}\eta_{,zzz}dx.\end{aligned} \tag{6.21}$$

Since $\eta_{,zz}|_{S_2} = 0$ the first integral on the r.h.s. of (6.21) vanishes. Applying the Cauchy and the Young inequalities to the second term on the r.h.s. of (6.21) we derive

$$\int\limits_\Omega |\nabla\eta_{,zz}|^2dx + \int\limits_{-a}^{a} \eta^2_{,zz}|_{r=0}dz \le c\int\limits_\Omega \vartheta^2_{,z}dx. \tag{6.22}$$

From (6.9), (6.14), (6.15), (6.20) and (6.22) we obtain (6.8). This concludes the proof.

Estimate (6.8) does not contain the norm $\|\nabla\eta_{,rr}\|_{L_2(\Omega)}$ because to estimate it we need vanishing of $\eta_{,rrr}|_{S_1}$. But the boundary conditions on S_1 do not imply it. Therefore we recall a smooth cut-off function $\zeta = \zeta_1(r)$ such that $\zeta_1(r) = 1$ for $r \le r_0$ and $\zeta_1(r) = 0$ for $r \ge 2r_0$, $2r_0 < R$. Introducing the notation

$$\tilde\eta = \eta\zeta_1^2, \quad \tilde\vartheta = \vartheta\zeta_1^2 \tag{6.23}$$

we see that $\tilde\eta$ is a solution to the problem

$$\begin{aligned}&\Delta\tilde\eta + \frac{2\tilde\eta_{,r}}{r} = \tilde\vartheta + 2\nabla\eta\nabla\zeta_1^2 + \eta\Delta\zeta_1^2 + \frac{\eta\zeta^2_{1,r}}{r} \equiv \tilde\vartheta + \vartheta_1 \equiv \vartheta_2,\\ &\tilde\eta|_{S_2} = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{6.24}$$

Lemma 6.2. *Let $\tilde\eta$ be a solution to (6.24). Let $\vartheta_{,r} \in L_2(\Omega)$, $v' \in H^1(\Omega)$. Then the following estimate holds*

$$\begin{aligned}\int\limits_\Omega |\nabla\tilde\eta_{,rr}|^2r^2dx + \int\limits_\Omega |\tilde\eta_{,rr}|^2dx + \int\limits_\Omega |\tilde\eta_{,rr}|^2dx + \int\limits_{-a}^{a} |\tilde\eta_{,r}|^2dz\\ \le c\int\limits_\Omega \vartheta^2_{,r}dx + c\|v'\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.25}$$

Proof. Differentiating (6.24) twice with respect to r, multiplying the result by $r^2\tilde\eta$ and integrating over Ω yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\limits_\Omega (\Delta\tilde\eta)_{,rr}r^2\tilde\eta_{,rr}dx+2\int\limits_\Omega\left(\frac{\tilde\eta_{,r}}{r}\right)_{,rr}r^2\tilde\eta_{,rr}dx\\&=\int\limits_\Omega\vartheta_{2,rr}r^2\tilde\eta_{,rr}dx.\end{aligned}\tag{6.26}$$

Since $\Delta\tilde\eta=\tilde\eta_{,rr}+\tilde\eta_{,zz}+\frac{\tilde\eta_{,r}}{r}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\Delta\tilde\eta)_{,rr}&=\tilde\eta_{,rrrr}+\tilde\eta_{,zzrr}+\frac{\tilde\eta_{,rrr}}{r}-2\frac{\tilde\eta_{,rr}}{r^2}+2\frac{\tilde\eta_{,r}}{r^3}\\&=\Delta\tilde\eta_{,rr}-2\frac{\tilde\eta_{,rr}}{r^2}+2\frac{\tilde\eta_{,r}}{r^3}.\end{aligned}$$

Employing the expression in (6.26) implies

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\limits_\Omega\left(\Delta\tilde\eta_{,rr}-\frac{2\tilde\eta_{,rr}}{r^2}+2\frac{\tilde\eta_{,r}}{r^3}\right)r^2\tilde\eta_{,rr}dx\\&\quad+2\int\limits_\Omega\left(\frac{\tilde\eta_{,r}}{r}\right)_{,rr}r^2\tilde\eta_{,rr}dx=\int\limits_\Omega\vartheta_{2,rr}r^2\tilde\eta_{,rr}dx,\end{aligned}\tag{6.27}$$

where $\tilde\eta_{,rr}|_{S_2}=0$ and $\tilde\eta$ vanishes with all derivatives on S_1.
Integrating by parts in (6.27) and using the boundary conditions we arrive to the equality

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\limits_\Omega\nabla\tilde\eta_{,rr}\nabla(r^2\tilde\eta_{,rr})dx+6\int\limits_\Omega|\tilde\eta_{,rr}|^2dx\\&\quad-6\int\limits_\Omega\tilde\eta_{,rr}\tilde\eta_{,r}drdz-2\int\limits_\Omega\tilde\eta_{,rrr}\tilde\eta_{,rr}rdx=\int\limits_\Omega\vartheta_{2,rr}r^2\tilde\eta_{,rr}dx.\end{aligned}\tag{6.28}$$

Continuing calculations in (6.28) gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\limits_\Omega|\nabla\tilde\eta_{,rr}|^2r^2dx+2\int\limits_\Omega\tilde\eta_{,rrr}\tilde\eta_{,rr}rdx+6\int\limits_\Omega|\tilde\eta_{,rr}|^2dx\\&\quad-6\int\limits_\Omega\tilde\eta_{,rr}\tilde\eta_{,r}drdz-2\int\limits_\Omega\tilde\eta_{,rrr}\tilde\eta_{,rr}rdx=\int\limits_\Omega\vartheta_{2,rr}r^2\tilde\eta_{,rr}dx.\end{aligned}$$

Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\limits_\Omega|\nabla\tilde\eta_{,rr}|^2r^2dx+6\int\limits_\Omega|\tilde\eta_{,rr}|^2dx-3\int\limits_\Omega\partial_r|\tilde\eta_{,r}|^2drdz\\&=\int\limits_\Omega(\vartheta_{2,r}r^2\tilde\eta_{,rr})_{,r}dx-\int\limits_\Omega\vartheta_{2,r}(r^2\tilde\eta_{,rr})_{,r}dx.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\tilde\eta_{,r}|_{r=R}=0$, $\tilde\eta_{,rr}|_{r=R}=0$, $\vartheta|_{r\le\varepsilon}=0$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int\limits_\Omega|\nabla\tilde\eta_{,rr}|^2r^2dx+6\int\limits_\Omega|\tilde\eta_{,rr}|^2dx+3\int\limits_{-a}^a|\tilde\eta_{,r}|^2|_{r=0}dz\\
&=-\int\limits_\Omega(\vartheta_{2,r}\tilde\eta_{,rrr}r^2+2\vartheta_{2,r}\tilde\eta_{,rr}r)dx.
\end{aligned}\tag{6.29}
$$

Applying the Cauchy and the Young inequalities to the r.h.s. of (6.29) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int\limits_\Omega|\nabla\tilde\eta_{,rr}|^2r^2dx+6\int\limits_\Omega|\tilde\eta_{,rr}|^2dx+6\int\limits_{-a}^a|\tilde\eta_{,r}|^2|_{r=0}dz\\
&\le c\int\limits_\Omega\vartheta_{2,r}^2dx\le c\int\limits_\Omega\vartheta_{,r}^2dx+c\int\limits_\Omega\vartheta_{1,r}^2dx.
\end{aligned}\tag{6.30}
$$

The second integral on the r.h.s. of (6.30) will be estimated in the following way

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int\limits_\Omega\vartheta_{1,r}^2dx=\int\limits_\Omega\left(2\nabla\eta\nabla\zeta_1+\eta\Delta\zeta_1+\frac{\eta\zeta_{1,r}}{r}\right)_{,r}^2r^2dx\\
&\le c\int\limits_{\Omega_{\zeta_{1,r}}}(\eta_{,rr}^2+\eta_{,r}^2+\eta^2)dx\le c\int\limits_{\Omega_{\zeta_{1,r}}}(\psi_{,rr}^2+\psi_{,r}^2+\psi^2)dx\\
&\le c\int\limits_{\Omega_{\zeta_{1,r}}}(v_{z,r}^2+v_z^2)dx+c\int\limits_{\Omega_{\zeta_{1,r}}}\psi^2dx\equiv I.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\psi|_{S_2}=0$ we have

$$
\psi(r,z,t)=\int\limits_{-a}^z\psi_{,z}dz
$$

and the second term in I is estimated by

$$
c\int\limits_\Omega v_r^2dx.
$$

Summarizing,

$$
\int\limits_\Omega\vartheta_{1,r}^2dx\le c\|v'\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2.\tag{6.31}
$$

From (6.30) and (6.31) we obtain (6.25). This concludes the proof.
To find an estimate for $\eta_{,rrr}$ in a neighborhood located in a positive distance from the axis of symmetry we introduce the notation

$$\bar\eta=\eta\zeta_2^2,\quad \bar\vartheta=\vartheta\zeta_2^2. \tag{6.32}$$

Then (6.11) takes the form

$$\bar\eta_{,rr}+\bar\eta_{,zz}+3\frac{\bar\eta_{,r}}{r}=\bar\vartheta+2\eta_{,r}\zeta^2_{2,r}+\eta\zeta^2_{2,rr}+3\frac{\eta\zeta^2_{2,r}}{r}. \tag{6.33}$$

Lemma 6.3. *Let $\vartheta\in H^1(\Omega)$. Then*

$$\|\bar\eta_{,rrr}\|_{L_2(\Omega)}\le c\int\limits_\Omega(\vartheta^2+\vartheta^2_{,r}+\vartheta^2_{,z})dx. \tag{6.34}$$

Proof. Differentiating (6.33) with respect to r yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\bar\eta_{,rrr}+\bar\eta_{,rzz}+3\frac{\bar\eta_{,rr}}{r}-3\frac{\bar\eta_{,r}}{r^2}=\bar\vartheta_{,r}+\bigg(2\eta_{,r}\zeta^2_{2,r}+\eta\zeta^2_{2,rr}\\&\quad+3\frac{\eta\zeta^2_{2,r}}{r}\bigg)_{,r}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.34}$$

In view of (6.8) we obtain from (6.34) the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\bar\eta_{,rrr}\|^2_{L_2(\Omega)}\le c\|\bar\eta_{,rzz}\|^2_{L_2(\Omega)}+c(1/r_0)\|\bar\eta_{,rr}\|^2_{L_2(\Omega)}\\&\quad+c(1/r_0)\|\eta_{,r}\|^2_{L_2(\Omega)}+c\|\bar\vartheta_{,r}\|^2_{L_2(\Omega)}+c(1/r_0)(\|\eta_{,rr}\|^2_{L_2(\Omega_{\zeta_2})}\\&\quad+\|\eta_{,r}\|^2_{L_2(\Omega_{\zeta_2})}+\|\eta\|^2_{L_2(\Omega_{\zeta_2})})\le c(1/r_0)\int\limits_\Omega(\vartheta^2+\vartheta^2_{,r}+\vartheta^2_{,z})dx.\end{aligned} \tag{6.35}$$

This concludes the proof.

However, the norm on the l.h.s. of (6.5) is over Ω_ε we can pass to the limit $\varepsilon=0$ because the r.h.s. of (6.5) is independent of ε.

Lemma 6.4. *Assume that $\frac{\chi}{r}\in V_2^0(\Omega^T)$. Then*

$$\bigg\|\frac{v_r}{r}\bigg\|_{V_2^1(\Omega^T)}\le c\bigg\|\frac{\chi}{r}\bigg\|_{V_2^0(\Omega^T)}. \tag{6.36}$$

Proof. From (6.8) we have

$$\int\limits_\Omega(\eta^2_{,z}+\eta^2_{,zrr}+\eta^2_{,zzr}+\eta^2_{,zzz})dx\le c\bigg\|\frac{\chi}{r}\bigg\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)}. \tag{6.37}$$

Using that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_\Omega \eta_{,z}^2dx=\int_\Omega\left|\frac{v_r}{r}\right|^2dx,\\&\int_\Omega(|\eta_{,zrr}|^2+|\eta_{,zzr}|^2+|\eta_{,zzz}|^2)dx\\&=\int_\Omega\left[\left(\frac{v_r}{r}\right)_{,rr}^2+\left(\frac{v_r}{r}\right)_{,zr}^2+\left(\frac{v_r}{r}\right)_{,zz}^2\right]dx\end{aligned}$$

we obtain from (6.37) the estimate

$$\left\|\frac{v_r}{r}\right\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2\le c\left\|\frac{\chi}{r}\right\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2. \tag{6.38}$$

Integrating (6.38) with respect to time implies

$$\left\|\frac{v_r}{r}\right\|_{L_2(0,T;H^2(\Omega))}^2\le c\left\|\frac{\chi}{r}\right\|_{L_2(0,T;H^1(\Omega))}^2. \tag{6.39}$$

From (6.15) we have

$$\int_\Omega\left|\nabla\frac{v_r}{r}\right|^2dx=\int_\Omega|\nabla\eta_{,z}|^2dx\le c\left\|\frac{\chi}{r}\right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \tag{6.40}$$

and (6.9) yields

$$\int_\Omega\left|\frac{v_r}{r}\right|^2dx=\int_\Omega\left(\frac{\psi}{r^2}\right)_{,z}^2dx\le\int_\Omega|\nabla\eta|^2dx\le c\left\|\frac{\chi}{r}\right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2.$$

The above two estimates yield

$$\left\|\frac{v_r}{r}\right\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2\le c\left\|\frac{\chi}{r}\right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2. \tag{6.41}$$

In view of the assumptions of the lemma estimate (6.41) implies

$$\left\|\frac{v_r}{r}\right\|_{L_\infty(0,T;H^1(\Omega))}^2\le c\left\|\frac{\chi}{r}\right\|_{L_\infty(0,T;L_2(\Omega))}^2. \tag{6.42}$$

From (6.39) and (6.42) we derive (6.36). This concludes the proof.
Next we have

Lemma 6.5. *Assume that* $\frac{\chi}{r} \in V_2^0(\Omega^T)$*. Then*

$$\|v'\|_{V_2^1(\Omega^T)} \le c\left\|\frac{\chi}{r}\right\|_{V_2^0(\Omega^T)}. \tag{6.43}$$

Proof. Since $v_r = \frac{\psi_{,z}}{r} = r\eta_{,z}$, $v_z = -\frac{\psi_{,r}}{r} = -r\eta_{,r} - 2\eta$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_\Omega (|\nabla v_r|^2 + |\nabla v_z|^2 + |v_r|^2 + |v_z|^2)dx \le c\int_\Omega (|\eta|^2 + |\nabla\eta|^2\\ &\quad + |\nabla\eta_{,r}|^2 + |\nabla\eta_{,z}|^2)dx \le c\left\|\frac{\chi}{r}\right\|^2_{L_2(\Omega)},\end{aligned} \tag{6.44}$$

where (6.8) was used. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_\Omega (|\nabla^2(v_r)|^2 + |\nabla^2(v_z)|^2 + |v_r|^2 + |v_z|^2)dx\\ &\le c\int_\Omega (|\nabla^2(r\eta_{,z})|^2 + |\nabla^2(r\eta_{,r})|^2 + |\nabla\eta|^2 + |\eta|^2)dx\\ &\le c\left\|\frac{\chi}{r}\right\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)},\end{aligned} \tag{6.45}$$

where Lemmas 6.1, 6.2, 6.3 were employed. Taking L_∞ norm with respect to time to (6.44) and L_2 norm with respect to time to (6.45) we obtain (6.43). This concludes the proof.

7. Estimate for the angular component of velocity

Let us consider the problem

$$\begin{aligned}&v_{\varphi,t} - \nu\Delta v_\varphi + v'\cdot\nabla v_\varphi + \frac{v_r}{r}v_\varphi + \nu\frac{v_\varphi}{r^2} = f_\varphi && \text{in } \Omega^T,\\ &v_{\varphi,r} = \frac{1}{r}v_\varphi && \text{on } S_1^T,\\ &v_{\varphi,z} = 0 && \text{on } S_2^T,\\ &v_\varphi|_{t=0} = v_\varphi(0) && \text{in } \Omega.\end{aligned} \tag{7.1}$$

Lemma 7.1. *Assume that* $v_\varphi(0) \in H_0^1(\Omega)$*,* $v_\varphi \in L_{5/2}(0,T;W^1_{5/2}(\Omega))$*,* $f_\varphi \in L_2(\Omega^T)$*. Assume that* A *defined by (6.2) and* A_0 *defined by (6.4) are* *finite. Let A_* be introduced in (6.5). Then*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{4}\|v_{\varphi,t}\|^2_{L_2(\Omega^t)}+\frac{\nu}{2}\|v_\varphi(t)\|^2_{H^1_0(\Omega)}+\frac{\nu}{2}\|v_\varphi(R,t)\|^2_{L_2(-a,a)}\\
&\le\frac{\nu}{2}\|v_\varphi(0)\|^2_{H^1_0(\Omega)}+cd_6^2+\varphi(A_*)\|v_\varphi\|^2_{L_{5/2}(0,t;W^1_{5/2}(\Omega))}\\
&\quad+\|f_\varphi\|^2_{L_2(\Omega^t)},\quad t\le T,
\end{aligned}
\tag{7.2}
$$

where

$$
\|u\|_{H^1_0(\Omega)}=\bigg(\int\limits_\Omega\Big(|\nabla u|^2+\frac{u^2}{r^2}\Big)dx\bigg)^{1/2}.
$$

Proof. Multiplying $(7.1)_1$ by $v_{\varphi,t}$ and integrating the result over Ω yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int\limits_\Omega v^2_{\varphi,t}dx+\frac{\nu}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_\Omega|\nabla v_\varphi|^2dx+\frac{\nu}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_\Omega\frac{v_\varphi^2}{r^2}dx\\
&-\frac{\nu}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_{-a}^a v_\varphi^2|_{r=R}dz\le\frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}\int\limits_\Omega v^2_{\varphi,t}dx+\frac{1}{2\varepsilon_1}\int\limits_\Omega|v'\cdot\nabla v_\varphi|^2dx\\
&+\frac{\varepsilon_2}{2}\int\limits_\Omega v^2_{\varphi,t}dx+\frac{1}{2\varepsilon_2}\int\limits_\Omega\frac{v_r^2}{r^2}v_\varphi^2dx+\frac{\varepsilon_3}{2}\int\limits_\Omega v^2_{\varphi,t}dx+\frac{1}{2\varepsilon_3}\int\limits_\Omega f_\varphi^2dx.
\end{aligned}
\tag{7.3}
$$

Setting $\varepsilon_1=\varepsilon_2=\varepsilon_3=\frac{1}{2}$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{4}\int\limits_\Omega v^2_{\varphi,t}dx+\frac{\nu}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_\Omega|\nabla v_\varphi|^2dx+\frac{\nu}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_\Omega\frac{v_\varphi^2}{r^2}dx\\
&-\frac{\nu}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_{-a}^a v_\varphi^2|_{r=R}dz\le\int\limits_\Omega|v'\cdot\nabla v_\varphi|^2dx+\int\limits_\Omega\frac{v_r^2}{r^2}v_\varphi^2dx\\
&+\int\limits_\Omega f_\varphi^2dx
\end{aligned}
\tag{7.4}
$$

Integrating (7.4) with respect to time and using that

$$
\|v'\|_{L_{10}(\Omega^T)}+\bigg\|\frac{v'}{r}\bigg\|_{L_{10}(\Omega^t)}\le\varphi(A_*),
$$

where Lemmas 6.4 and 6.5 are employed, we obtain from (7.4) the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{4}\int\limits_{\Omega^t} v_{\varphi,t'}^2 dxdt' + \frac{\nu}{2}\int\limits_{\Omega} |\nabla v_\varphi(t)|^2 dx + \frac{\nu}{2}\int\limits_{\Omega} \frac{v_\varphi^2(t)}{r^2} dx\\
&\quad + \frac{\nu}{2}\int\limits_{-a}^{a} v_\varphi^2|_{r=R,t=0} dz \le \frac{\nu}{2}\int\limits_{\Omega} |\nabla v_\varphi(0)|^2 dx + \frac{\nu}{2}\int\limits_{\Omega} \frac{v_\varphi^2(0)}{r^2} dx\\
&\quad + \frac{\nu}{2}\int\limits_{-a}^{a} v_\varphi^2|_{r=R,t} dz + \varphi(A_*)(\|\nabla v_\varphi\|_{L_{5/2}(\Omega^t)}^2 + \|v_\varphi\|_{L_{5/2}(\Omega^t)}^2)\\
&\quad + \|f_\varphi\|_{L_2(\Omega^t)}^2, \quad t \le T.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.5}$$

Using that the third integral on the r.h.s. of (7.5) is bounded by cd_6 (see (3.1)) we derive (7.2). This concludes the proof.

To estimate the coefficient near $\varphi(A_*)$ on the r.h.s. of (7.2) we introduce the Green function to the linear part of problem (7.1). Let us denote it by G. To obtain an estimate independent of time we consider problem (7.1) in the intervals $(0, T_0)$ and $(kT_0, (k+1)T_0)$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and T_0 is a given positive number. For $t \in (0, T_0)$ problem (7.1) can be expressed in the following integral form

$$\begin{aligned}
v_\varphi(x,t) &= \int\limits_{\Omega^t} \nabla_{y_\alpha} G(x-y, t-\tau) v'_\alpha(y,\tau) v_\varphi(y,\tau) dyd\tau\\
&\quad - \int\limits_{\Omega^t} G(x-y,t-\tau)\bigg(\frac{v_r(y,\tau)}{r} v_\varphi(y,\tau) + \nu\frac{v_\varphi(y,\tau)}{r^2}\bigg) dyd\tau\\
&\quad + \int\limits_{\Omega^t} G(x-y,t) v_\varphi(y,0) dy + \int\limits_{S_1^t} G(x-z,t-\tau)\frac{1}{r} v_\varphi(z,\tau) dzd\tau\\
&\quad + \int\limits_{\Omega^t} G(x-y,t-\tau) f_\varphi(y,\tau) dyd\tau, \quad t \in (0, T_0).
\end{aligned} \tag{7.6}$$

To examine problem (7.1) in the interval $(kT_0, (k+1)T_0)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we introduce a smooth cut-off function $\zeta = \zeta(t)$ such that $\zeta(t) = 1$ for $t \in [kT_0, (k+1)T_0]$ and $\zeta(t) = 0$ for $t \notin [(k-1/2)T_0, (k+3/2)T_0]$.

Multiplying (7.1) by ζ and introducing the notation $\tilde v_\varphi = v_\varphi \zeta$, $\tilde f_\varphi = f_\varphi \zeta$

we obtain

$$
(7.7)\quad \begin{aligned}
&\tilde v_{\varphi,t} - \nu\Delta\tilde v_\varphi + v'\cdot\nabla\tilde v_\varphi + \frac{v_r}{r}\tilde v_\varphi \\
&\quad = \tilde f_\varphi + v_\varphi\dot\zeta && \text{in } \ \Omega\times((k-1)T_0,(k+2)T_0),\\
&\tilde v_{\varphi,r} = \frac{1}{r}\tilde v_\varphi && \text{on } \ S_1\times((k-1)T_0,(k+2)T_0),\\
&\tilde v_{\varphi,z} = 0 && \text{on } \ S_2\times((k-1)T_0,(k+2)T_0),\\
&\tilde v_\varphi|_{t=(k-1)T_0} = 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the Green function we express (7.7) in the following integral equation

(7.8)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde v_\varphi(x,t) &= \int\limits_{(k-1)T_0}^{t}\int\limits_\Omega \nabla_{y_\alpha} G(x-y,t-\tau)v'_\alpha(y,\tau)\tilde v_\varphi(y,\tau)dyd\tau\\
&- \int\limits_{(k-1)T_0}\int\limits_G G(x-y,t-\tau)\bigg(\frac{v_r(y,\tau)}{r}\tilde v_\varphi(y,\tau) + \nu\frac{\tilde v_\varphi(y,\tau)}{r^2}\bigg)dyd\tau\\
&+ \int\limits_{(k-1)T_0}^{t}\int\limits_{S_1} G(x-z,t-\tau)\frac{1}{r}\tilde v_\varphi(z,\tau)dzd\tau\\
&+ \int\limits_{(k-1)T_0}^{t}\int\limits_\Omega G(x-y,t-\tau)(\tilde f_\varphi(y,\tau) + v_\varphi(y,\tau)\dot\zeta(\tau))dyd\tau,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $t\in((k-1)T_0,(k+2)T_0)$.

Lemma 7.2. *Assume that $T_0>0$ is a given positive number. Assume that $v_\varphi(0)\in W^{1/5}_{5/2}(\Omega)$, $f_\varphi\in L_{5/3}(\Omega\times((k-1)T_0,(k+1)T_0))$, $\frac{v_\varphi}{r}\in L_{10/3}(\Omega\times((k-1)T_0,(k+1)T_0))$ for $k\in\mathbb{N}$. Then the following estimates hold*

$$
(7.9)\quad \begin{aligned}
\|v_\varphi\|_{W^{1,1/2}_{5/2}(\Omega^t)} &\le c(T_0)\bigg[\varphi(A_*)d_7 + T_0^{2/5}d_6 + \|v_\varphi(0)\|_{W^{1/5}_{5/2}(\Omega)}\\
&\quad \bigg\|\frac{v_\varphi}{r}\bigg\|^2_{L_{10/3}(\Omega^{T_0})} + \|f_\varphi\|_{L_{5/3}(\Omega^{T_0})}\bigg], \quad t\le T_0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

(7.10)

$$\begin{aligned}\|v_\varphi\|_{W^{1,1/2}_{5/2}(\Omega\times(kT_0,t))} &\le c(T_0)\bigg[\varphi(A_*)d_7+T_0^{2/5}d_6\\ &+\bigg\|\frac{v_\varphi}{r}\bigg\|^2_{L_{10/3}(\Omega\times((k-1)T_0,(k+1)T_0))}+\|f_\varphi\|_{L_{5/3}(\Omega\times((k-1)T_0,(k+1)T_0))}\\ &+cT_0^{-13/20}d_6^{1/2}d_7^{1/2}\bigg],\quad t\in(kT_0,(k+1)T_0),\end{aligned}$$

where d_6 is introduced in (3.1), d_7 in (2.15) and A_* is defined by (6.5). Moreover, the last term on the r.h.s. follows from estimate (3.6).

Proof. First we consider the case $t\in(0,T_0)$. Applying the potential theory to (7.6) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\|v_\varphi\|_{W^{1,1/2}_\sigma(\Omega^t)} &\le c(T_0)[\|v'v_\varphi\|_{L_\sigma(\Omega^{T_0})}\\ &+\bigg\|\frac{v_r}{r}v_\varphi\bigg\|_{L_{\frac{5\sigma}{5+\sigma}}(\Omega^{T_0})}+\bigg\|\frac{v_\varphi}{r^2}\bigg\|_{L_{\frac{5\sigma}{5+\sigma}}(\Omega^{T_0})}+\|v_\varphi\|_{L_\sigma(S_1^{T_0})}\\ &+\|v_\varphi(0)\|_{W^{1-2/\sigma}_\sigma(\Omega)}+\|f_\varphi\|_{L_{\frac{5\sigma}{5+\sigma}}(\Omega^{T_0})}],\quad t\le T_0.\end{aligned}\tag{7.11}$$

To estimate the third term on the r.h.s. of (7.2) we assume that $\sigma=5/2$. Then (7.11) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}\|v_\varphi\|_{W^{1,1/2}_{5/2}(\Omega^t)} &\le c(T_0)\bigg[\|v'v_\varphi\|_{L_{5/2}(\Omega^{T_0})}+\bigg\|\frac{v_r}{r}v_\varphi\bigg\|_{L_{5/3}(\Omega^{T_0})}\\ &+\bigg\|\frac{v_\varphi}{r^2}\bigg\|_{L_{5/3}(\Omega^{T_0})}+\|v_\varphi\|_{L_{5/2}(S_1^{T_0})}+\|v_\varphi(0)\|_{W^{1/5}_{5/2}(\Omega)}\\ &+\|f_\varphi\|_{L_{5/3}(\Omega^{T_0})}\bigg],\quad t\le T_0.\end{aligned}\tag{7.12}$$

Now we estimate the particular terms from the r.h.s. of (7.12). Applying the Hölder inequality the first term on the r.h.s. is bounded by

$$\|v'v_\varphi\|_{L_{5/2}(\Omega^{T_0})}\le\|v'\|_{L_{10}(\Omega^{T_0})}\|v_\varphi\|_{L_{10/3}(\Omega^{T_0})}\le\varphi(A_*)d_7,$$

where we used (2.7), (2.15), (6.5) and (6.43).
Similarly, the second term on the r.h.s. of (7.12) is bounded by

$$\bigg\|v_r\frac{v_\varphi}{r}\bigg\|_{L_{5/3}(\Omega^{T_0})}\le\|v_r\|_{L_{10}(\Omega^{T_0})}\bigg\|\frac{v_\varphi}{r}\bigg\|_{L_2(\Omega^{T_0})}\le\varphi(A_*)d_7.$$

Assuming that $v_\varphi \ge 1$ (otherwise we have regularity of axially symmetric solutions) the third term on the r.h.s. of (7.12) is estimated by

$$\left\|\frac{v_\varphi}{r}\right\|^2_{L_{10/3}(\Omega^{T_0})}.$$

The fourth term is bounded by

$$cT_0^{2/5}\|u\|_{L_\infty(\Omega^{T_0})} \le cT_0^{2/5}d_6,$$

where (3.1) is used. Summarizing the above estimates we obtain (7.9).

Now we apply the potential theory to (7.8). Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|v_\varphi\|_{W^{1,1/2}_{5/2}(\Omega\times(kT_0,t))} \le \|\tilde v_\varphi\|_{W^{1,1/2}_{5/2}(\Omega\times((k-1)T_0,t))}\\
&\le c(T_0)\bigg[\|v'\tilde v_\varphi\|_{L_{5/2}(\Omega\times((k-1)T_0,(k+1)T_0))}\\
&\quad+\left\|v_r\frac{\tilde v_\varphi}{r}\right\|_{L_{5/3}(\Omega\times((k-1)T_0,(k+1)T_0))}\\
&\quad+\left\|\frac{\tilde v_\varphi}{r^2}\right\|_{L_{5/3}(\Omega\times((k-1)T_0,(k+1)T_0))}\\
&\quad+\|\tilde v_\varphi\|_{L_{5/2}(S_1\times((k-1)T_0,(k+1)T_0))}\\
&+\quad\|\tilde f_\varphi + v_\varphi\dot\zeta\|_{L_{5/3}(\Omega\times((k-1)T_0,(k+1)T_0))}\bigg]
\end{aligned}\tag{7.13}$$

where $t\in[kT_0,(k+1)T_0]$. Repeating the considerations leading to (7.9) we obtain (7.10). This concludes the proof.

To estimate the term $\|\frac{v_\varphi}{r}\|_{L_{10/3}(\Omega\times((k-1)T_0,(k+1)T_0))'}$ $k\in\mathbb{N}$, from the r.h.s. of (7.9) and (7.10) we introduce the quantity $w=\frac{v_\varphi}{r}$ which is a solution to the problem

$$\begin{aligned}
&w_{,t}+v'\cdot\nabla w+2\frac{v_r}{r}w-\nu\Delta w-\frac{2\nu}{r}w_{,r}=\frac{f_\varphi}{r}\equiv g_0 && \text{in } \Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+,\\
&w_{,r}=0 && \text{on } S_1\times\mathbb{R}_+,\\
&w_{,z}=0 && \text{on } S_2\times\mathbb{R}_+,\\
&w|_{t=0}=w(0) && \text{in } \Omega.
\end{aligned}\tag{7.14}$$

Lemma 7.3. *Assume that A_* defined by (6.5) is finite. Let $g_0=\frac{f_\varphi}{r}$ and $|||g_0|||_2\equiv\sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}\|g_0\|_{L_2(kT_0,(k+1)T_0;L_2(\Omega))}$ be finite, $k\in\mathbb{N}_0=\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$. Let $T_0>0$ be given. Let $w(0)\in L_2(\Omega)$. Then*

$$\|w\|_{L_{10/3}(\Omega\times(kT_0,t))}\le\varphi(T_0,d_7,A_*,|||g_0|||_2)+e^{-\nu_* kT_0}\|w(0)\|_{L_2(\Omega)},\tag{7.15}$$

where φ *is an increasing positive function,* d_7 *is defined by (2.15),* $0 < \nu_* < \nu$ *and* $t \in (kT_0; (k+1)T_0]$.

Proof. Multiplying (7.14) by $w|w|^{s-2}$ and integrating over Ω we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{s}\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_\Omega |w|^s dx + \frac{4\nu(s-1)}{s^2}\int\limits_\Omega |\nabla|w|^{s/2}|^2 dx\\ &\le 2\int\limits_\Omega \left|\frac{v_r}{r}\right||w|^s dx + \frac{2\nu}{s}\int\limits_\Omega \partial_r|w|^s drdz + \int\limits_\Omega |g_0|\,|w|^{s-1}dx\end{aligned}\tag{7.16}$$

Performing integration in the second term on the r.h.s. of (7.16) yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{s}\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_\Omega |w|^s dx + \frac{4\nu(s-1)}{s^2}\int\limits_\Omega |\nabla|w|^{s/2}|^2 dx\\ &\le 2\int\limits_\Omega \left|\frac{v_r}{r}\right||w|^s dx + \frac{2\nu}{s}\int\limits_{-a}^{a} |w(R,z,t)|^s dz\\ &\quad + \|g_0\|_{L_s(\Omega)}\|w\|^{s-1}_{L_s(\Omega)}.\end{aligned}\tag{7.17}$$

In view of the interpolation inequality

$$\int\limits_{-a}^{a} |w(R,z,t)|^s dz \le \varepsilon\int\limits_\Omega |\nabla|w|^{s/2}|^2 dx + \frac{c_1}{\varepsilon}\int\limits_\Omega |w|^s dx$$

with $\varepsilon = \frac{s-1}{s}$ we derive from (7.17) the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_\Omega |w|^s dx + \frac{2\nu(s-1)}{s}\int\limits_\Omega |\nabla|w|^{s/2}|^2 dx \le 2s\int\limits_\Omega \left|\frac{v_r}{r}\right||w|^s dx\\ &+ \frac{c_1}{s-1}\int\limits_\Omega |w|^s dx + s\|g_0\|_{L_s(\Omega)}\|w\|^{s-1}_{L_s(\Omega)}.\end{aligned}\tag{7.18}$$

We are going to consider (7.18) for $s \in \left[\frac{3}{2}, 4\right]$. Then (7.18) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_\Omega |w|^s dx + \frac{2}{3}\nu\int\limits_\Omega |\nabla|w|^{s/2}|^2 dx \le 8\int\limits_\Omega \left|\frac{v_r}{r}\right||w|^s dx\\ &+ 2c_1\int\limits_\Omega |w|^s dx + 4\|g_0\|_{L_s(\Omega)}\|w\|^{s-1}_{L_s(\Omega)}.\end{aligned}\tag{7.19}$$

Introducing the quantity

$$\eta = |w|^{s/2} \tag{7.20}$$

inequality (7.19) assumes the form

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_\Omega |\eta|^2dx + \frac{2}{3}\nu\int\limits_\Omega |\nabla\eta|^2dx \le 8\int\limits_\Omega \left|\frac{v_r}{r}\right|\eta^2dx \\ &\quad + 2c_1\int\limits_\Omega |\eta|^2dx + 4\|\eta\|^{s-1}_{L_2(\Omega)}\|g_0\|_{L_s(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.21}$$

Since $\eta_{,r}|_{S_1} = 0$, $\eta_{,z}|_{S_2} = 0$ the following Poincare inequality holds

$$\int\limits_\Omega \eta^2dx \le c_p\int\limits_\Omega |\nabla\eta|^2dx + c_p\bigg|\int\limits_\Omega \eta dx\bigg|. \tag{7.22}$$

Introducing the splitting $\frac{2}{3}\nu = \nu_1 + \nu_2$, $\nu_i > 0$, $i = 1,2$, we obtain from (7.21) the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_\Omega \eta^2dx + \frac{\nu_1}{c_p}\int\limits_\Omega \eta^2dx + \nu_2\int\limits_\Omega |\nabla\eta|^2dx \le \frac{\nu_1}{c_p}\bigg|\int\limits_\Omega \eta dx\bigg| \\ &\quad + 8\int\limits_\Omega \left|\frac{v_r}{r}\right|\eta^2dx + 2c_1\int\limits_\Omega \eta^2dx + 4\|\eta\|^{s-1}_{L_2(\Omega)}\|g_0\|_{L_s(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.23}$$

Let $\nu_* = \frac{\nu_1}{c_p}$. Then from (7.23) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt}\bigg(\int\limits_\Omega \eta^2dxe^{\nu_*t}\bigg) + \nu_2\int\limits_\Omega |\nabla\eta|^2dxe^{\nu_*t} \\ &\le \bigg[\nu_*\int\limits_\Omega |\eta|dx + 2c_1\int\limits_\Omega \eta^2dx + 8\int\limits_\Omega \left|\frac{v_r}{r}\right|\eta^2dx + 4\|\eta\|^{s-1}_{L_2(\Omega)}\|g_0\|_{L_s(\Omega)}\bigg]e^{\nu_*t}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.24}$$

Integrating (7.24) with respect to time from kT_0 to $t \in (kT_0,(k+1)T_0]$, $k \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\} \equiv \mathbb{N}_0$, yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\int\limits_\Omega \eta^2(t)dxe^{\nu_*t} + \nu_2\int\limits_{kT_0}^t\int\limits_\Omega |\nabla\eta(t')|^2dxe^{\nu_*t'}dt' \\ &\le \int\limits_{kT_0}^t \bigg[\nu_*\int\limits_\Omega |\eta|dx + 2c_1\int\limits_\Omega \eta^2dx + 8\int\limits_\Omega \left|\frac{v_r}{r}\right|\eta^2dx \\ &\quad + 4\|\eta\|^{s-1}_{L_2(\Omega)}\|g_0\|_{L_s(\Omega)}\bigg]e^{\nu_*t'}dt' + \int\limits_\Omega \eta^2(kT_0)dxe^{\nu_*kT_0}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.25}$$

Continuing, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega \eta^2(t)dx + \nu_2 e^{-\nu_* t}\int_{kT_0}^t\int_\Omega |\nabla\eta(t')|^2 dx e^{\nu_* t'}dt' \\
&\le e^{-\nu_* t}\int_{kT_0}^t \bigg[\nu_*\int_\Omega |\eta|dx + 2c_1\int_\Omega \eta^2 dx + 8\int_\Omega \left|\frac{v_r}{r}\right|\eta^2 dx \\
&\quad + 4\|\eta\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^{s-1}\|g_0\|_{L_s(\Omega)}\bigg]e^{\nu_* t'}dt + e^{-\nu_*(t-kT_0)}\int_\Omega \eta^2(kT_0)dx, \\
&t\in(kT_0,(k+1)T_0].
\end{aligned}
\tag{7.26}
$$

First we obtain an estimate for $\int_\Omega \eta^2(kT_0)dx$ for any $k\in\mathbb{N}_0$. For this purpose we omit the second term on the l.h.s. of (7.26). Therefore we consider the inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega \eta^2((k+1)T_0)dx \\
&\le \int_{kT_0}^{(k+1)T_0} \bigg[\nu_*\int_\Omega |\eta|dx + 2c_1\int_\Omega \eta^2 dx + 8\int_\Omega \left|\frac{v_r}{r}\right|\eta^2 dx \\
&\quad + 4\|\eta\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^{s-1}\|g_0\|_{L_s(\Omega)}\bigg]dt + e^{-\nu_* T_0}\int_\Omega \eta^2(kT_0)dx.
\end{aligned}
\tag{7.27}
$$

Take $s=\frac{9}{5}$. Then the first integral on the r.h.s. of (7.27) is estimated by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&c\int_{kT_0}^{(k+1)T_0} dt\int_\Omega (|w|^{9/10}+|w|^{9/5})dx \\
&+ c\bigg(\int_{kT_0}^{(k+1)T_0}\int_\Omega \left|\frac{v_r}{r}\right|^{10}dxdt\bigg)^{1/10}\bigg(\int_{kT_0}^{(k+1)T_0}\int_\Omega |w|^2 dxdt\bigg)^{9/10} \\
&+ cT_0^{2/45}\bigg(\int_{kT_0}^{(k+1)T_0}\int_\Omega |w|^{9/5}dxdt\bigg)^{2/5}\bigg(\int_{kT_0}^{(k+1)T_0}\int_\Omega |g_0|^{9/5}dxdt\bigg)^{5/9} \equiv I_1.
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the Hölder inequality and the energy estimate (2.15) with d_7 implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_1 &\le \varphi(|\Omega|,A_0)[T_0^{11/20}d_7^{9/10} + T_0^{1/10}d_7^{9/5} + \varphi(A_*)d_7^{9/10} \\
&\quad + T_0^a d_7^b\|g_0\|_{L_{9/5}(\Omega\times(kT_0,(k+1)T_0))}] \\
&\equiv A_1(T_0,d_7,A_*,\sup_k\|g\|_{L_{9/5}(\Omega\times(kT_0,(k+1)T_0))}), \quad a>0, \quad b>0.
\end{aligned}
$$

Introducing the notation

$$X_1(t) = \int\limits_\Omega |w(t)|^{9/5} dx \tag{7.28}$$

we obtain from (7.27) the inequality

$$X_1((k+1)T_0) \le A_1 + e^{-\nu_* T_0} X_1(kT_0). \tag{7.29}$$

Hence, (7.29) implies

$$X_1(kT_0) \le \frac{A_1}{1 - e^{-\nu_* T_0}} + e^{-k\nu_* T_0} X_1(0). \tag{7.30}$$

In view of (7.30) we can consider (7.26) in the any interval $(kT_0, (k+1)T_0)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, for

$$\eta_1 = |w|^{9/10}. \tag{7.31}$$

Then we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|\eta_1\|^2_{V_2^0(\Omega\times(kT_0,t))} &\le (1+e^{\nu_* T_0}) \bigg[A_1(T_0) + \frac{A_1(T_0)}{1-e^{-\nu_* T_0}} \\ &+ e^{-k\nu_* T_0} \|\eta_1(0)\|^2_{L_2(\Omega)} \bigg], \quad t \in (kT_0, (k+1)T_0]. \end{aligned} \tag{7.32}$$

Using that

$$\int\limits_\Omega \eta^2(0) dx = \int |w(0)|^s dx$$

and

$$\|\eta\|^2_{V_2^0(\Omega^T)} = \| |w|^{s/2} \|^2_{V_2^0(\Omega^T)} \ge \|w\|^s_{L_{\frac{5}{3}s}(\Omega^T)}$$

we obtain from (7.32) in the case $s = \frac{9}{5}$ the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|w\|_{L_3(\Omega\times(kT_0,t))} &\le (1+e^{\nu_* T_0})^{5/9} \bigg[\frac{2 - e^{-\nu_* T_0}}{1 - e^{-\nu_* T_0}} A_1(T_0) \\ &+ e^{-k\nu_* T_0} \|w(0)\|^{9/5}_{L_{9/5}(\Omega)} \bigg]^{5/9} \equiv A_2(T_0), \quad t \in (kT_0, (k+1)T_0], \end{aligned} \tag{7.33}$$

where A_2 depends also on the same quantities as A_1.

Next we consider the case $s = 2$. Then the first term on the r.h.s. of (7.27) is estimated by

$$\begin{aligned}&c\bigg[\int\limits_{kT_0}^{(k+1)T_0}dt\int\limits_\Omega(|w|+|w|^2)dx\\&\quad+\bigg(\int\limits_{kT_0}^{(k+1)T_0}\int\limits_\Omega\bigg|\frac{v_r}{r}\bigg|^{10}dxdt\bigg)^{1/10}\bigg(\int\limits_{kT_0}^{(k+1)T_0}\int\limits_\Omega|w|^{\frac{20}{9}}dxdt\bigg)^{9/10}\\&\quad+\|g\|_{L_2(\Omega\times(kT_0,(k+1)T_0))}\|w\|_{L_2(\Omega\times(kT_0,(k+1)T_0))}\bigg]\\&\le c[T_0d_7+d_7^2+\varphi(A)T_0^{7/30}A_2(T_0)+\|g_0\|_{L_2(\Omega\times(kT_0,(k+1)T_0))}d_7]\\&\equiv A_3(T_0,d_7,A_2;\sup_k\|g_0\|_{L_2(\Omega\times(kT_0,(k+1)T_0))}).\end{aligned}$$

Setting

$$X_2(t)=\int\limits_\Omega|w(t)|^2dx \tag{7.34}$$

we obtain from (7.27) the inequality

$$X_2((k+1)T_0)\le A_3(T_0)+e^{-\nu_*T_0}X_2(kT_0). \tag{7.35}$$

Hence

$$X_2(kT_0)\le\frac{A_3(T_0)}{1-e^{-\nu_*T_0}}+e^{-\nu_*kT_0}X_2(0). \tag{7.36}$$

Using the above estimate in (7.26) with $s = 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|w\|^2_{L_{10/3}(\Omega\times(kT_0,t))}&\le(1+e^{\nu_*T_0})\bigg(\frac{2-e^{-\nu_*T_0}}{1-e^{-\nu_*T_0}}A_3(T_0)\\&\quad+e^{-\nu_*kT_0}\|w(0)\|^2_{L_2(\Omega)}\bigg),\quad t\in(kT_0,(k+1)T_0].\end{aligned}\tag{7.37}$$

From (7.37) it follows (7.15). This concludes the proof.

To prolong in time a local solution to (1.1) we need a version of Lemma 7.1 for solutions to problem (7.7). Therefore, we have

Lemma 7.4. *Assume that* $g = rf_\varphi \in L_\infty(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+)$, $u(0)\in L_\infty(\Omega)$, $v(0)\in L_2(\Omega)$, $f\in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+;L_{6/5}(\Omega))$, $\frac{v_\varphi(0)}{r}\in L_2(\Omega)$. *Let* $T_0>0$ *be given. Let* $|||f_\varphi|||_2=\sup_k\|f_\varphi\|_{L_2(\Omega\times(kT_0,(k+1)T_0))}<\infty$, $|||\frac{f_\varphi}{r}|||_{9/5}=$

$\sup_k \left\|\frac{f_\varphi}{r}\right\|_{L_{9/5}(\Omega\times(kT_0,(k+1)T_0))} < \infty$. *Let* A_* *(introduced by (6.5)) be finite. Then*

(7.38)

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\limits_{(k-1)T_0}^{kT_0}\int\limits_\Omega \tilde v_{\varphi,t}^2dxdt+\nu\int\limits_\Omega\bigg(|\nabla\tilde v_\varphi(kT_0)|^2+\bigg|\frac{\tilde v_\varphi(kT_0)}{r}\bigg|^2\bigg)dx\\&\le cd_6^2+c\|\tilde f_\varphi\|_2^2+\frac{c}{T_0^{3/2}}d_6d_7+\varphi\bigg(A_*,T_0,d_6,d_7,\bigg|\bigg|\bigg|\frac{f_\varphi}{r}\bigg|\bigg|\bigg|_{9/5},|||f_\varphi|||_2\bigg)\\&\quad+ce^{-\nu_*kT_0}\bigg\|\frac{v_\varphi(0)|}{r}\bigg\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2\equiv A_4^2.\end{aligned}$$

where d_6 *is introduced in (3.1),* d_7 *in (2.15) and* A_* *in (6.5).*

Proof. Multiplying $(7.7)_1$ by $\tilde v_{\varphi,t}$ and integrating the result over Ω yield

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\limits_\Omega\tilde v_{\varphi,t}^2dx-\nu\int\limits_\Omega\Delta\tilde v_\varphi\tilde v_{\varphi,t}dx+\nu\int\limits_\Omega\frac{\tilde v_\varphi}{r^2}\tilde v_{\varphi,t}dx\\&=\int\limits_\Omega\tilde f_\varphi\tilde v_{\varphi,t}dx+\int\limits_\Omega v_\varphi\dot\zeta\tilde v_{\varphi,t}-\int\limits_\Omega\frac{v_r}{r}\tilde v_\varphi\tilde v_{\varphi,t}dx-\int\limits_\Omega v'\cdot\nabla\tilde v_\varphi\tilde v_{\varphi,t}dx.\end{aligned}\tag{7.39}$$

Integration by parts implies

$$-\int\limits_\Omega\Delta\tilde v_\varphi\tilde v_{\varphi,t}dx=-\int\limits_{-a}^a\tilde v_\varphi\tilde v_{\varphi,t}|_{r=R}dz+\int\limits_\Omega\nabla\tilde v_\varphi\cdot\nabla\tilde v_{\varphi,t}dx,$$

where the boundary conditions $(7.7)_{2,3}$ were used.
Applying the Cauchy inequality to the r.h.s. terms of (7.39) we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac12\int\limits_\Omega\tilde v_{\varphi,t}^2dx+\frac\nu2\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_\Omega|\nabla\tilde v_\varphi|^2dx+\frac\nu2\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_\Omega\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^2}{r^2}dx\\&-\frac\nu2\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_{-a}^a\tilde v_\varphi^2|_{r=R}dz\le c\int\limits_\Omega\tilde f_\varphi^2dx+c\int\limits_\Omega v_\varphi^2\dot\zeta^2dx\\&+c\int\limits_\Omega v'^2|\nabla\tilde v_\varphi|^2dx+c\int\limits_\Omega\frac{v_r^2}{r^2}\tilde v_\varphi^2dx.\end{aligned}\tag{7.40}$$

Integrating (7.40) with respect to time from $t=(k-1)T_0$ to $t=kT_0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\int\limits_{(k-1)T_0}^{kT_0}\int\limits_\Omega \tilde v_{\varphi,t}^2dxdt+\frac{\nu}{2}\int\limits_\Omega|\nabla\tilde v_\varphi(kT_0)|^2dx+\frac{\nu}{2}\int\limits_\Omega\left|\frac{\tilde v_\varphi(kT_0)}{r}\right|^2dx\\ &\le\frac{\nu}{2}\int\limits_{-a}^{a}\tilde v_\varphi^2(kT_0)|_{r=R}dz+c\int\limits_{(k-1)T_0}^{kT_0}\int\limits_\Omega\tilde f_\varphi^2dxdt\\ &\quad+c\int\limits_{(k-1)T_0}^{kT_0}\int\limits_\Omega v_\varphi^2\dot\zeta^2dxdt+c\int\limits_{(k1)T_0}^{kT_0}\left(v^{'2}|\nabla\tilde v_\varphi|^2+\frac{v_r^2}{r^2}\tilde v_\varphi^2\right)dxdt.\end{aligned}\tag{7.41}$$

The first term in estimated by cd_6^2, the second by the norm $|||\tilde f_\varphi|||_2=\sup_k\|\tilde f_\varphi\|_{L_2((k-1)T_0,kT_0;L_2(\Omega))}$, the third by $\frac{c}{T_0^{3/2}}\|v_\varphi\|^2_{L_4(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+)}\le\frac{c}{T_0^{3/2}}d_6d_7$ (see (3.6)) and finally the last is bounded by

$$\varphi\left(A_*,T_0,d_6,d_7,\left|\left|\left|\frac{f_\varphi}{r}\right|\right|\right|_{9/5},|||f_\varphi|||_2\right)+ce^{-\nu_*kT_0}\left\|\frac{v_\varphi(0)}{r}\right\|^2_{L_2(\Omega)},$$

where (7.10) and (7.15) were used. Using the above estimates in (7.41) implies (7.38). This concludes the proof.

Having estimate (7.38) we are able to consider problem (7.1) in the time interval $(kT_0,(k+1)T_0)$. Then instead of (7.2) we obtain

$$\|v_{\varphi,t}\|^2_{L_2(kT_0,t;\Omega)}+\|v_\varphi(t)\|^2_{H_0^1(\Omega)}\le cA_4^2,\tag{7.42}$$

where $t\in(kT_0,(k+1)T_0)$ and A_4 is introduced in (7.38).

8. Global existence

To prove global existence of axially symmetric solutions to problem (1.1) we first show long time existence (see Theorem 8.1). By the long time existence we mean that there exists a time $T_e<\infty$, without any restrictions on T_e from above, such that axially symmetric solutions to (1.1) satisfy

$$v\in W_2^{2,1}(\Omega^{T_e}),\quad\nabla p\in L_2(\Omega^{T_e}).\tag{8.1}$$

The existence of such time T_e is connected with the assumption that some spacial norms of the external force must be integrated in appropriate powers over time interval $(0,T_e)$. Therefore, we prove global existence, so the existence on the infinite time interval $(0,\infty)$, by prolonging the long time solution step by step on intervals $((k-1)T_e,kT_e)$, $k\in\mathbb{N}$. In such a way we relax restrictions on the external force, because otherwise looking for solution (8.1) with $T_e=\infty$, we have to assume that f vanishes sufficiently fast as time goes to infinity. In the step by step approach we prove existence of such solutions that

$$v\in\bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}}W_2^{2,1}(\Omega\times((k-1)T_e,kT_e)),\quad \nabla p\in\bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}}L_2(\Omega\times((k-1)T_e,kT_e)). \tag{8.2}$$

Looking for solutions (8.2) we have to repeat the long time existence (see Theorem 8.1) in each step, so we should prove that if there exists a constant α depending on the data norms such that

$$\|v(0)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}\le\alpha \tag{8.3}$$

then

$$\|v(kT_e)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}\le\alpha\quad\text{for any } k\in\mathbb{N}. \tag{8.4}$$

The above assertion holds for v_φ (see Lemma 7.4 and formula (7.42)). To prove it for $v'=(v_r,v_z)$ we need first some decay estimate for χ. This will be shown in Lemma 8.2.

To formulate Theorem 8.1. we recall necessary notation. Let the constants $d_0,d_1,\dots,d_7$ be introduced by the relations (see Lemma 2.1):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|v(0)\|_{L_2(\Omega)}\le d_0,\quad \|f\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+;L_{6/5}(\Omega))}\le d_2,\\
&g=f\cdot\eta,\quad u=v\cdot\eta,\quad \eta=(-x_2,x_1,0),\\
&\sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}\ \sup_{t\in[kT,(k+1)T]}\bigg|\int_{kT}^{t}\int_\Omega g\,dx\,dt'+\int_\Omega u(kT)dx\bigg|\le d_1,\\
&d_3\ge c(d_1+d_2),\quad d_4\ge c(T)(d_0+d_3),\quad d_5\ge c(T)(d_0+d_3)\\
&d_7\ge c(T)(d_4+\sup_k\|f\|_{L_2(\Omega\times(kT,(k+1)T))})\quad\text{(see (2.15))}.
\end{aligned}$$

$d_6=d_6(\|u(0)\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)},\|g\|_{L_\infty(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}_+)})$ (some function) (see (3.1)).

Let us recall the partition of unity $\{\zeta_1(r),\zeta_2(r)\}$ on the interval $(0,R)$ with the properties: ζ_1,ζ_2 are smooth functions such that $\zeta_1(r)=1$ for $r\le r_0$ $\zeta_1(r)=0$ for $r\ge 2r_0$, $\zeta_2(r)=0$ for $r\le r_0$ and $\zeta_2(r)=1$ for $r\ge 2r_0$. Moreover, we have the notation: $\tilde w=w\zeta_1$, $\bar w=w\zeta_2$ for any function w.

Let us introduce the quantities

$$
\begin{aligned}
&A^2(t)=c(1/r_0)d_5^2[1+(1+d_6)d_6^2]+\left\|\frac{\tilde\chi(0)}{r}\right\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2\\
&\quad+\left\|\frac{\tilde v_\varphi(0)}{\sqrt r}\right\|_{L_4(\Omega)}^4+\left\|\frac{\tilde F}{r}\right\|_{L_2(\Omega^t)}^2+\left\|\frac{\tilde f_\varphi}{\sqrt r}\right\|_{L_{20/11}(\Omega^t)}^2,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{(8.5)}\quad &A_0^2(t)=c(1/r_0)(d_5^2+d_6^2d_7^2)+\|\bar F\|^2_{L_2(0,t;L_{6/5}(\Omega))}+\frac{1}{r_0^2}\|\bar\chi(0)\|^2_{L_2(\Omega)},\\
&A_4^2(t)=cd_6^2+|||\tilde f_\varphi|||_2^2+\frac{c}{T^{3/2}}d_6d_7+\varphi\bigg(A_*,T,d_6,d_7,\left|\left|\left|\frac{f_\varphi}{r}\right|\right|\right|_{9/5},\\
&\qquad\qquad \left|\left|\left|f_\varphi\right|\right|\right|_2\bigg)+c\left\|\frac{v_\varphi(0)}{r}\right\|^2_{L_2(\Omega)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $|||w|||_s=\sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}\|w\|_{L_s((k-1)T,kT;L_s(\Omega))}$, $s\in(1,\infty)$ and $A_*=A+[c(1/r_0)d_1^2+1]A_0$ (see (6.5)).

Theorem 8.1. *(long time existence). Let $T_e>0$ be given. Assume that $v(0)\in H^1(\Omega)$, $f\in L_2(\Omega^{T_e})$ and $A_1(T_e)$, $A_0(T_e)$, $A_4(T_e)$ are finite. Then there exists axially symmetric solution to problem (1.1) such that (8.1) holds.*

Proof. Assume that T_* is so small that (2.27) is satisfied for $T=T_*$. Then Lemma 2.5 implies a local existence of solutions to problem (1.1) such that $v\in W_2^{2,1}(\Omega^{T_*})$, $\nabla p\in L_2(\Omega^{T_*})$. To apply Lemma 2.5 we need that $v(0)\in H^1(\Omega)$ and $f\in L_2(\Omega^{T_*})$. To extend the existence of local solutions on the interval $(T_*,2T_*)$ (or to apply Lemma 2.5 for interval $(T_*,2T_*)$) we have to obtain an estimate for $\|v(T_*)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$ such that

$$
\|v(T_*)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}\le\|v(0)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}. \tag{8.6}
$$

Moreover, we need that

$$
\|f\|_{L_2(\Omega\times(T_*,2T_*))}\le\|f\|_{L_2(\Omega^{T_*})}. \tag{8.7}
$$

Estimate (8.7) holds by assumptions. Therefore, we have to show (8.6) only. Under assumptions (8.5) and from (6.5) we have the estimate

$$
\left\|\frac{\chi}{r}\right\|_{V_2^0(\Omega^{T_*})}\le c(1/r_0,d_1)(A(T_e)+A_0(T_e)). \tag{8.8}
$$

Then (6.43) (Lemma (6.5)) implies

$$
\|v'\|_{V_2^1(\Omega^{T_*})}\le c\left\|\frac{\chi}{r}\right\|_{V_2^0(\Omega^{T_*})}. \tag{8.9}
$$

Hence

$$\|v'(T_*)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \le c(1/r_0, d_1)(A(T_e) + A_0(T_e)). \tag{8.10}$$

Similarly, (7.42) yields

$$\|v_\varphi(T_*)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \le cA_4(T_e). \tag{8.11}$$

Let

$$\alpha = c(A(T_e) + A_0(T_e) + A_4(T_e)). \tag{8.12}$$

Since

$$\|v(0)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \le \alpha \tag{8.13}$$

we obtain from (8.10) and (8.11) that

$$\|v(T_*)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \le \alpha \tag{8.14}$$

and we can apply Lemma 2.5. Assuming that $T_* = \frac{T_e}{m}$ we can repeat the procedure m times and prove the theorem.

We can prove the theorem in a different way. We have existence of weak solutions to problem (1.1) such that $v \in V_2^0(\Omega^{T_e})$ and the estimate holds

$$\|v\|_{V_2^0(\Omega^{T_e})} \le c(\|v(0)\|_{L_2(\Omega)} + \|f\|_{L_2(\Omega^{T_e})}). \tag{8.15}$$

We restrict an increasing of regularity of the weak solutions by getting an estimate guaranteeing regularity (8.1). The precise procedure is very complicated but it could be presented.
Under assumptions (8.5) and Lemma 6.5 we have the estimate

$$\|v'\|_{V_2^1(\Omega^{T_e})} \le c(A(T_e) + A_0(T_e)). \tag{8.16}$$

From the proof of Lemma 3.7 in [Z9] we have

$$\|v'\|_{L_{10}(\Omega^{T_e})} \le c\|v'\|_{V_2^1(\Omega^{T_e})}. \tag{8.17}$$

Let us consider the Stokes system

$$\begin{aligned}
&v_t - \operatorname{div} \mathbb{T}(v,p) = -v' \cdot \nabla v + f,\\
&\operatorname{div} v = 0\\
&v \cdot \bar n|_S = 0, \quad \bar n \cdot \mathbb{D}(v) \cdot \bar\tau_\alpha|_S = 0, \quad \alpha = 1,2,\\
&v|_{t=0} = v(0),
\end{aligned} \tag{8.18}$$

where the r.h.s. of $(8.18)_1$ is treated as given. In view of (8.15) and (8.17) the r.h.s. of $(8.18)_1$ belongs to $L_{5/3}(\Omega^{T_e})$ under the assumption that $f \in L_{5/3}(\Omega^{T_e})$ also. The last statement is satisfied because $f \in L_2(\Omega^{T_e})$. Hence, in view of [Z7, ZZ], there exists a solution to (8.18) such that $v \in W^{2,1}_{5/3}(\Omega^{T_e})$, $\nabla p \in L_{5/3}(\Omega^{T_e})$ and the estimate holds

$$\|v\|_{W^{2,1}_{5/3}(\Omega^{T_e})} + \|\nabla p\|_{L_{5/3}(\Omega^{T_e})} \le c(\text{data}). \tag{8.19}$$

In view of the imbedding

$$\|\nabla v\|_{L_{5/2}(\Omega^{T_e})} \le c\|v\|_{W^{2,1}_{5/3}(\Omega^{T_e})} \tag{8.20}$$

we obtain that the r.h.s. of $(8.18)_1$ belongs to $L_2(\Omega^{T_e})$. Applying again [Z7, ZZ] we get that $v \in W^{2,1}_2(\Omega^{T_e})$, $\nabla p \in L_2(\Omega^{T_e})$ and the estimate is valid

$$\|v\|_{W^{2,1}_2(\Omega^{T_e})} + \|\nabla p\|_{L_2(\Omega^{T_e})} \le c(\text{data}). \tag{8.21}$$

This concludes the proof.

Next we shall show that if time existence T_e, appeared in Theorem 8.1, is sufficiently large then there exists a solution to (1.1) with properties described by (8.2). The solution behaves similarly in each time interval $(kT_e, (k+1)T_e)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. To prove this we have to show that $\|v(kT_e)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$ is bounded by the same constant for all k. For this some decay estimates are needed.

Lemma 8.2. *Let $T_e > 0$ be a sufficiently large given number. Let there exist a weak solution to problem (1.1). Let assumptions of Lemmas 2.2, 2.3, 3.1, 3.2 be satisfied. Let the constants d_4 (see (2.1)), d_5 (see (2.2)), d_7 (see (2.15)), d_6 (see (3.1)) be finite. Let $r_0 > 0$ be so small that*

$$\sup_t \|rv_\varphi\|_{L_\infty(\Omega_{r_0})} \le \sqrt[4]{\frac{a}{3}}\nu, \quad a < 1,$$

holds, where $\Omega_{r_0} = \{x \in \Omega : \ r < r_0\}$. Let

$$X^2(t) = \frac{1}{\nu^2}\left\|\frac{v_\varphi^2}{r}\right\|^2_{L_2(\Omega)} + \left\|\frac{\chi}{r}\right\|^2_{L_2(\Omega)}.$$

Let $X(0)$ be finite. Let the quantities

$$d_8 = \sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0} \|f_\varphi\|_{L_4(\Omega\times(kT_e,(k+1)T_e))},$$

$$d_9 = \sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0} \left\|\frac{F}{r}\right\|_{L_2(\Omega\times(kT_e,(k+1)T_e))},$$

be finite. Then there exists a positive increasing function $B_0 = B_0(1/r_0, d_4, d_5, d_6, d_7, d_8, d_9)$ *such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &X(kT_e) \le B_0 + X(0)e^{-\nu_0 kT_e},\\ &\|v(t)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \le c(B_0 + X(kT_e)), \end{aligned} \tag{8.22}$$

hold for any $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *and* $t \in (kT_e, (k+1)T_e)$.

Proof. From (4.12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\bigg\|\frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r}\bigg\|^2_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} + \frac{\nu}{2}\bigg\|\nabla\frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r}\bigg\|^2_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \le \frac{1}{\nu}\bigg\|\frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi}{r}\bigg\|^4_{L_4(\Omega_\varepsilon)}\\ &\quad + c(1/r_0)\int\limits_{\Omega_{\varepsilon,\zeta_{1,r}}}\chi^2 dx + c(1/r_0)\int\limits_{\Omega_{\varepsilon,\zeta_{1,r}}}|v_r|\chi^2 dx + c\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\bigg|\frac{\tilde{F}}{r}\bigg|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \tag{8.23}$$

Moreover, using that $\tilde{v}_\varphi|_{r=\varepsilon} = 0$, (4.16) yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{4}\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^2}dx + \frac{3}{4}\nu\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\bigg|\nabla\frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r}\bigg|^2 dx\\ &\quad + \frac{3}{4}\nu\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^4}dx = -\frac{3}{2}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{v_r}{r}\frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^2}dx + \int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon} v\cdot\nabla\zeta_1 v_\varphi\frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r^2}dx\\ &\quad - \int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}[2\nabla v_\varphi\nabla\zeta_1 + v_\varphi\Delta\zeta_1]\frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r^2}dx + \int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\tilde{f}_\varphi\tilde{v}_\varphi\frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r^2}dx. \end{aligned} \tag{8.24}$$

Now we examine the particular terms from the r.h.s. of (8.24). We estimate the first term by

$$\frac{3}{2}\|rv_\varphi\|^2_{L_\infty(\Omega_\zeta)}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{|\tilde{v}_r|}{r^3}\frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r^2}dx,$$

the second by

$$\frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^4}dx + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_1}c(1/r_0)\int\limits_{\Omega_{\varepsilon,\zeta_{1,r}}} v^2 v_\varphi^4 dx \equiv I_1,$$

where the second term in I_1 is bounded by

$$\frac{c(1/r_0)}{2\varepsilon_1}\|rv_\varphi\|^4_{L_\infty(\Omega)}\int\limits_{\Omega_{\varepsilon,\zeta_{1,r}}} v^2 dx.$$

The third integral on the r.h.s. of (8.24) is divided into two parts. The first part equals

$$\begin{aligned}&-2\nu\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\nabla v_\varphi\nabla\zeta_1\frac{\tilde v_\varphi\tilde v_\varphi^2}{r^2}dx=-2\nu\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\nabla v_\varphi\nabla\zeta_1\frac{v_\varphi^3\zeta_1^3}{r^2}dx\\&=-\frac{\nu}{2}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\nabla v_\varphi^4\nabla\zeta_1\frac{\zeta_1^3}{r^2}dx=\frac{\nu}{2}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}v_\varphi^4\nabla\bigg(\nabla\zeta_1\frac{\zeta_1^3}{r^2}\bigg)dx\equiv I_2.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$|I_2|\le c(1/r_0)\int\limits_{\Omega_{\varepsilon,\zeta_{1,r}}}v_\varphi^4dx.$$

Similarly, the second part of the third term on the r.h.s. of (8.24) is estimated by

$$c(1/r_0)\int\limits_{\Omega_{\varepsilon,\zeta_{1,r}}}v_\varphi^4dx.$$

Finally, the last term on the r.h.s. of (8.24) is bounded by

$$\frac{\varepsilon_2}{2}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^4}{r^4}dx+\frac{1}{2\varepsilon_2}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\tilde f_\varphi^2\tilde v_\varphi^2dx.$$

Assuming $\varepsilon_1=\varepsilon_2=\frac{\nu}{8}$ and using the above estimates in (8.24) imply

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac14\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^4}{r^2}dx+\frac34\nu\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\bigg|\nabla\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^2}{r}\bigg|^2dx+\frac58\nu\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^4}{r^4}dx\\&\le\frac32\|rv_\varphi\|_{L_\infty(\Omega_\zeta)}^2\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{|\tilde v_r|}{r^3}\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^2}{r^2}dx+c(1/r_0)\|rv_\varphi\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)}^4\int\limits_{\Omega_{\varepsilon,\zeta_{1,r}}}v^2dx\\&\quad+c(1/r_0)\int\limits_{\Omega_{\varepsilon,\zeta_{1,r}}}v_\varphi^4dx+\frac4\nu\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\tilde f_\varphi^2\tilde v_\varphi^2dx.\end{aligned}\tag{8.25}$$

Employing (4.29) in (8.23) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\bigg\|\frac{\tilde\chi}{r}\bigg\|^2_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}+\frac{\nu_1}{2}\bigg\|\nabla\frac{\tilde\chi}{r}\bigg\|^2_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}+\frac{\nu_2}{2}\bigg(\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\bigg|\nabla\bigg(\frac{\tilde v_r}{r}\bigg)_{,r}\bigg|^2dx\\
&+3\nu_2\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{1}{r^2}\bigg(\frac{\tilde v_r}{r}\bigg)^2_{,r}dx\bigg)\le\frac{1}{\nu}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^4}{r^4}dx+c(1/r_0)\int\limits_{\Omega_{\varepsilon,\zeta_{1,r}}}\chi^2dx\\
&+c(1/r_0)\int\limits_{\Omega_{\varepsilon,\zeta_{1,r}}}|v_r|\chi^2dx+c\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\bigg|\frac{\tilde F}{r}\bigg|^2dx\\
&+\frac{\nu_2}{2}c(1/r_0)\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}(v_{z,r}^2+v_z^2)dx,
\end{aligned}\tag{8.26}$$

where $\nu=\nu_1+\nu_2$, $\nu_i>0$, $i=1,2$. Using (3.1) and applying the Cauchy inequality to the first term on the r.h.s. of (8.25) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{4}\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^4}{r^2}dx+\frac{3}{4}\nu\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\bigg|\nabla\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^2}{r}\bigg|^2dx+\bigg(\frac{5}{8}\nu-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\bigg)\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^4}{r^4}dx\\
&\le\frac{9}{8\varepsilon}\|rv_\varphi\|^4_{L_\infty(\Omega_\zeta)}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{\tilde v_r^2}{r^6}dx+c(1/r_0)d_6^4\int\limits_{\Omega_{\varepsilon,\zeta_{1,r}}}v^2dx\\
&\quad+c(1/r_0)\int\limits_{\Omega_{\varepsilon,\zeta_{1,r}}}v_\varphi^4dx+c\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\tilde f_\varphi^4dx.
\end{aligned}\tag{8.27}$$

Setting $\varepsilon=\frac{\nu}{4}$ and using the Hardy inequality

$$\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{\tilde v_r^2}{r^6}dx\le\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{1}{r^2}\bigg(\frac{\tilde v_r}{r}\bigg)^2_{,r}dx$$

in (8.27) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{4}\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^4}{r^2}dx+\frac{3}{4}\nu\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\bigg|\nabla\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^2}{r}\bigg|^2dx+\frac{\nu}{2}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^4}{r^4}dx\\
&\le\frac{9}{2\nu}\|rv_\varphi\|^4_{L_\infty(\Omega_\zeta)}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{1}{r^2}\bigg(\frac{\tilde v_r}{r}\bigg)^2_{,r}dx+c(1/r_0)d_6^4\int\limits_{\Omega_{\varepsilon,\zeta_{1,r}}}v^2dx\\
&\quad+c(1/r_0)\int\limits_{\Omega_{\varepsilon,\zeta_{1,r}}}v_\varphi^4dx+c\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\tilde f_\varphi^4dx.
\end{aligned}\tag{8.28}$$

Multiplying (8.28) by $\frac{2}{\nu^2}$ and adding to (8.26) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2\nu^2}\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^4}{r^2}dx+\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{\tilde{\chi}^2}{r^2}dx+\frac{3}{2\nu}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\left|\nabla\frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r}\right|^2dx\\
&\quad+\frac{\nu_1}{2}\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\left|\nabla\frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r}\right|^2dx+3\nu_2\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{1}{r^2}\left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r}\right)_{,r}^2dx\\
&\le\frac{9}{\nu^3}\|rv_\varphi\|_{L_\infty(\Omega_\zeta)}^4\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\frac{1}{r^2}\left(\frac{\tilde{v}_r}{r}\right)_{,r}^2dx+c(1/r_0)\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\chi^2dx\\
&\quad+c(1/r_0)\int\limits_{\Omega_{\varepsilon,\zeta_{1,r}}}|v_r|\chi^2dx+c(1/r_0)\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}(v_{z,r}^2+v_z^2)dx\\
&\quad+c(1/r_0)d_6^2\int\limits_{\Omega_{\varepsilon,\zeta_{1,r}}}v^2dx+c(1/r_0)\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}v_\varphi^4dx+c\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\left|\frac{\tilde{F}}{r}\right|^2dx\\
&\quad+c\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\tilde{f}_\varphi^4dx.
\end{aligned}
\tag{8.29}
$$

Setting $\nu_2=a\nu$, $a<1$ and assuming that

$$
\frac{9}{\nu^3}\|rv_\varphi\|_{L_\infty(\Omega\zeta)}^4\le 3a\nu\quad\text{so}\quad\|rv_\varphi\|_{L_\infty(\Omega_\zeta)}^4\le\frac{a\nu^4}{3}.
\tag{8.30}
$$

For $a=\frac{16}{27}$ the above condition takes the form

$$
\|rv_\varphi\|_{L_\infty(\Omega_\zeta)}\le\frac{2\nu}{3},
$$

we obtain from (8.29) the inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\bigg(\frac{1}{\nu^2}\left\|\frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r}\right\|_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}^2+\left\|\frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r}\right\|_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}^2\bigg)+\bigg(\frac{3}{\nu}\left\|\nabla\frac{\tilde{v}_\varphi^2}{r}\right\|_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}^2\\
&\quad+\nu_1\left\|\nabla\frac{\tilde{\chi}}{r}\right\|_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}^2\bigg)\le c(1/r_0)\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\chi^2dx+c(1/r_0)\int\limits_{\Omega_{\varepsilon,\zeta_{1,r}}}|v_r|\chi^2dx\\
&\quad+c(1/r_0)\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}(v_{z,r}^2+v_z^2)dx+c(1/r_0)d_6^2\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}v^2dx+c(1/r_0)\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}v_\varphi^4dx\\
&\quad+c\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\tilde{f}_\varphi^4dx+c\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\left|\frac{\tilde{F}}{r}\right|^2dx
\end{aligned}
\tag{8.31}
$$

Let us recall that $\nu_1 = (1-a)\nu$. Let $b < 1-a$.
Then the second expression on the l.h.s. takes the form

$$\nu\bigg(\frac{3}{\nu^2}\bigg\|\nabla\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^2}{r}\bigg\|^2_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} + (1-a-b)\bigg\|\nabla\frac{\tilde\chi}{r}\bigg\|^2_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}\bigg) + b\nu\bigg\|\nabla\frac{\tilde\chi}{r}\bigg\|^2_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}$$

Let c_p be the constant from the Poincare inequality.
Let $\nu_* = \min\left\{\frac{3\nu}{c_p}, \frac{(1-a-b)\nu}{c_p}\right\}$ and let

$$X(t) = \frac{1}{\nu^2}\bigg\|\frac{\tilde v_\varphi^2}{r}\bigg\|^2_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} + \bigg\|\frac{\tilde\chi}{r}\bigg\|^2_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}. \tag{8.32}$$

Then (8.31) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}X + \nu_*X + b\nu\bigg\|\nabla\frac{\tilde\chi}{r}\bigg\|^2_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} &\le c(1/r_0)\int\limits_{\Omega_{\varepsilon,\zeta_{1,r}}}|v_r|\chi^2dx\\ &+ c(1/r_0)\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}(\chi^2 + v_{z,r}^2 + v_z^2 + d_6^2v^2)dx + c(1/r_0)\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}v_\varphi^4dx\\ &+ c\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\tilde f_\varphi^4dx + c\int\limits_{\Omega_\varepsilon}\bigg|\frac{\tilde F}{r}\bigg|^2dx.\end{aligned} \tag{8.33}$$

Multiplying (8.33) by e^{ν_*t} and integrating with respect to time from kT to $t \in (kT_e, (k+1)T_e]$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, yields

$$\begin{aligned}&X(t)e^{\nu_*t} + b\nu\int\limits_{kT}^t\bigg\|\nabla\frac{\tilde\chi}{r}(t')\bigg\|^2_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}e^{\nu_*t'}dt'\\ &\le c(1/r_0)\int\limits_{kT_e}^t\int\limits_{\Omega_{\varepsilon,\zeta_{1,r}}}|v_r|\chi^2dxe^{\nu_*t'}dt' + c(1/r_0)d_5^2(1+d_6^2)e^{\nu_*t}\\ &\quad + c(1/r_0)d_6^2d_7^2e^{\nu_*t} + \big(\|f_\varphi\|^4_{L_4(\Omega\times(kT_e,(k+1)T_e))}\\ &\quad + \bigg\|\frac{\tilde F}{r}\bigg\|^2_{L_2(\Omega\times(kT_e,(k+1)T_e))}\bigg)e^{\nu_*t} + X(kT)e^{\nu_*kT_e}.\end{aligned} \tag{8.34}$$

Introducing the notation

$$d_8 = \sup_k\|f_\varphi\|_{L_4(\Omega\times(kT_e,(k+1)T_e))}, \quad d_9 = \sup_k\bigg\|\frac{\tilde F}{r}\bigg\|_{L_2(\Omega\times(kT_e,(k+1)T_e))}$$

and estimating the first term on the r.h.s. of (8.34) by

$$\begin{aligned}&c(1/r_0)\int\limits_{kT_e}^{t}\|v_r\|_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon,\zeta_{1,r})}\|\chi\|^2_{L_4(\Omega_\varepsilon,\zeta_{1,r})}e^{\nu_*t'}dt'\\&\le c(1/r_0)d_4\int\limits_{kT_e}^{t}\|\chi\|^2_{L_4(\Omega_\varepsilon,\zeta_{1,r})}e^{\nu_*t'}dt'\\&\le\varepsilon\int\limits_{kT_e}^{t}\left\|\nabla\frac{\chi}{r}\right\|^2_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon,\zeta_{1,r})}e^{\nu_*t'}dt'+c(1/r_0,d_4,d_5)e^{\nu_*t}\end{aligned}$$

we obtain
(8.35)

$$\begin{aligned}&X(t)e^{\nu_*t}+b\nu\int\limits_{kT_e}^{t}\left\|\nabla\frac{\chi}{r}(t')\right\|^2_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}e^{\nu_*t'}dt'\\&\le\varepsilon\int\limits_{kT_e}^{t}\left\|\nabla\frac{\chi}{r}\right\|^2_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon,\zeta_{1,r})}e^{\nu_*t'}dt'+B(1/r_0,d_4,d_5,d_6,d_7,d_8,d_9,1/\varepsilon)\cdot\\&\quad\cdot e^{\nu_*t}+X(kT)e^{\nu_*kT_e},\end{aligned}$$

where B is a positive increasing function of its arguments.
By the local iteration technique (see [LSU, Ch. 4, Sect. 10]) we get

$$X(t)e^{\nu_*t}+b\nu\int\limits_{kT_e}^{t}\left\|\nabla\frac{\chi}{r}\right\|^2_{L_2(\Omega_\varepsilon)}e^{\nu_*t'}dt'\le 2Be^{\nu_*t}+2X(kT)e^{\nu_*kT}\tag{8.36}$$

To obtain an estimate for $X(kT_e)$ we skip the second term on the l.h.s. of (8.36) and set $t=(k+1)T_e$. Then we get

$$X((k+1)T_e)\le 2B+2X(kT)e^{-\nu_*T_e}.\tag{8.37}$$

Let $B_0=2B$ and $2e^{-\frac{\nu_*}{2}T_e}\le 1$. Denoting $\nu_0=\frac{\nu_*}{2}$ we obtain

$$X((k+1)T_e)\le B_0+X(kT_e)e^{-\nu_0T_e}.\tag{8.38}$$

Hence

$$X(kT_e)\le\frac{B_0}{1-e^{-\nu_0T_e}}+X(0)e^{-\nu_0kT_e},\quad k\in\mathbb{N}_0.\tag{8.39}$$

In view of (8.39) we obtain from (8.31) after integration with respect to time from kT_e to $t \in (kT_e, (k+1)T_e]$ the estimate

$$\tag{8.40} \begin{aligned} &\left\| \frac{v_\varphi^2}{r} \right\|^2_{V_2^0(\Omega\times(kT_e,t))} + \left\| \frac{\chi}{r} \right\|^2_{V_2^0(\Omega\times(kT_e,t))} \\ &\le B_0 + X^2(kT_e)e^{-\nu_*(t-kT_e)} \end{aligned}$$

The inequality implies the estimate

$$\tag{8.41} \|v(t)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \le c(B_0 + \chi(kT_e)), \quad t \in (kT_e, (k+1)T_e],$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. This concludes the proof.

Proof of the Main Theorem. Let $T = T_e$. In view of Theorem 8.1 and Lemma 8.2 there exists a local solution to problem (1.1) such that

$$v \in W_2^{2,1}(\Omega \times (kT, (k+1)T)), \quad \nabla p \in L_2(\Omega \times (kT, (k+1)T))$$

and estimate (1.15) is valid. This ends the proof.

References

BIN Besov, O. V.; Il'in, V. P.; Nikolskij, S. M.: Integral representation of functions and theorems of imbedding, Nauka, Moscow 1975 (in Russian).

B Burczak, J.: Boundary De Giorgi-Ladyzhenskaya classes and their application to regularity of swirl of the Navier-Stokes equations (to be published).

CKN Caffarelli, L.; Kohn. R.; Nirenberg, L.: Partial regularity of suitable weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 35 (1982), 771–831.

K Kochin, N. E.: Vectorial calculations and beginning of tensor calculations, Akademia Nauk SSSR, Moscow 1951 (in Russian).

LSU Ladyzhenskaya, O. A.; Solonnikov, V. A.; Uraltseva, N. N.: Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type, Nauka, Moscow 1967 (in Russian).

L1 Ladyzhenskaya, O. A.: On unique solvability of three-dimensional Cauchy problem for the Navier-Stokes equations under the axial symmetry, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. LOMI 7 (1968), 155–177 (in Russian).

L2 Ladyzhenskaya, O. A.: Mathematical problem of motion of viscous incompressible fluid, Nauka, Moscow 1970 (in Russian).

LL Landau, L.; Lifshitz, E.: Hydrodynamics, Nauka, Moscow 1986 (in Russian).

S Solonnikov, V. A.: Estimates of the solutions of a nonstationary linearized system of the Navier-Stokes equations, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov 70 (1964), 213–317; English transl. Amer. Math. Soc. Trans. Ser. 2, 65 (1967), 51–137.

T Temam, R.: Navier-Stokes equations, theory and numerical analysis, North-Holland Publishing Company Amsterdam-New York-Oxford, 1979.

UY Ukhovskij, M. R.; Yudovich, V. I.: Axially symmetric motions of ideal and viscous fluids filling all space, Prikl. Mat. Mech. 32 (1968), 59–69 (in Russian).

ZZ Zadrzyńska, E.; Zajączkowski, W. M.: Nonstationary Stokes system in Sobolev spaces (to be published).

Z1 Zajączkowski, W. M.: A priori estimate for axially symmetric solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations near the axis of symmetry, arXiv: 1210.1510, math. AP.

Z2 Zajączkowski, W. M.: Global regular axially symmetric solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in a periodic cylinder, arXiv: 1210. 1513, math. AP.

Z3 Zajączkowski, W. M.: The Hölder regularity of swirl, arXiv: 1210.1515, math. AP.

Z4 Zajączkowski, W. M.: Global special regular solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in a cylindrical domain under boundary slip condition, Gakuto Intern. Ser., Math. Sc. Appl. 21 (2004), 1–188.

Z5 Zajączkowski,W. M.: Global special regular solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in axially symmetric domains under boundary slip conditions, Diss. Math. 432 (2005), pp. 138.

Z6 Zajączkowski, W. M.: Global regular solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in an axially symmetric domain, Topol. Meth. Nonlin. Anal. 33 (2009), 233–274.

Z7 Zajączkowski, W. M.: Nonstationary Stokes system in cylindrical domains and anisotropic Sobolev spaces.

Z8 Zajączkowski, W. M.: Global axially symmetric solutions with large swirl to the Navier-Stokes equations, Topol. Meth. Nonlin. Anal. 29 (2007), 295–331.

Z9 Zajączkowski, W. M.: Global special regular solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in a cylindrical domain without the axis of symmetry, Top. Meth. Nonlin. Anal. 24 (2004), 69–105.